arXiv:0707.0362v2 [math.GR] 30 Apr 2010

On Fox and augmentation quotients of semidirect products

Manfred Hartl

May 3, 2010

Université de Lille Nord de France, 59000 Lille, France
UVHC, LAMAV and FR CNRS 2956,
59313 Valenciennes, France.
Email: Manfred.Hartl@univ-valenciennes.fr

Abstract

Let G be a group which is the semidirect product of a normal subgroup N and some subgroup T. Let $I^n(G)$, $n \geq 1$, denote the powers of the augmentation ideal $I(G)$ of the group ring $\mathbb{Z}(G)$. Using homological methods the groups $Q_n(G,H) = I^{n-1}(G)I(H)/I^n(G)I(H)$, $H = G, N, T$, are functorially expressed in terms of enveloping algebras of certain Lie rings associated with N and T, in the following cases: for $n \leq 4$ and arbitrary G, N, T (except from one direct summand of $Q_4(G,N)$), and for all $n \geq 2$ if certain filtration quotients of N and T are torsion-free.

Introduction. The group ring $\mathbb{Z}(G)$ of a group G is naturally filtered by the powers $I^n(G)$, $n \geq 1$, of its augmentation ideal $I(G)$. It is a long-studied problem to determine the so-called augmentation quotients $Q_n(G) = I^n(G)/I^{n+1}(G)$ in terms of the structure of G, also because of their close link with the dimension subgroups $D_n(G) = G \cap (1 + I^n(G))$ which can be inductively described as $D_{n+1}(G) = \mathrm{Ker}(D_n(G) \to Q_n(G))$. The groups $Q_n(G)$ were determined for $n = 2$ by Passi [24], Sandling [28] and Losey [20] for abelian, finite and finitely generated groups G and for $n = 3, 4$ and finite G by Tahara [31], [32]; a functorial description for all groups was given for $n = 2$ by Bachmann and Gruenenfelder [2] and for $n = 3$ in [4], based on Quillen's approximation of the graded ring $\mathrm{Gr}(\mathbb{Z}(G)) = \mathbb{Z} \oplus \bigoplus_{n\geq 1} Q_n(G)$ by the enveloping ring of the Lie ring of G, see [26], [25] or section 1 below. With the functorial viewpoint new methods emerge in the field where most work is still based on the classical combinatorial approach:

Quillen's computation of $\mathrm{Gr}(\mathbb{Q}(G))$ relies on Hopf algebra techniques, while the general results on $Q_2(G)$ and $Q_3(G)$ are obtained by homological methods as inaugurated by Passi, see [25]. Recently, Passi and Mikhailov pass from homological to homotopical methods [22], [23]. In [9] and in this paper we combine enveloping algebras and new homological observations to study the more general quotients $Q_n(G,H) = I^{n-1}(G)I(H)/I^n(G)I(H)$ for some subgroup H of G; we call these *Fox quotients* because of their close relation with the classical *Fox subgroups* $G \cap (1 + I^{n-1}(G)I(H))$. Fox quotients (and some related groups, see [18], [11], [12]) were also extensively studied in the literature, but, except from [9], only under suitable splitting assumptions, in particular when H is a semidirect factor of G. In fact, Sandling's [29] and later Tahara's work [33] on augmentation quotients of semidirect products $G = N \rtimes T$ had split the study of Fox quotients into two classes of independent problems: the study of certain filtration quotients of $\mathbb{Z}(N)$ and $\mathbb{Z}(T)$ on the one hand and of product filtrations $\mathcal{F}_n = \sum \Delta_{n-i}I^i(T)$ on the other hand where $(\Delta_i)_{i\geq 1}$ is one of two natural filtrations of $\mathbb{Z}(N)$, see section 1. In a series of papers Khambadkone and later Karan and Vermani expressed the quotients of these product filtrations in terms of tensor products of the groups $\Delta_{n-i}/\Delta_{n-i+1}$ and $I^i(T)/I^{i+1}(T)$, for low values of n and under additional assumptions, assuming either G finite and N finitely generated or nilpotent [17], [18], [19], or assuming torsion-freeness of sufficiently many filtration quotients of N and T [13], [15], [14], [34]. For a more detailed survey on Fox and augmentation quotients see Passi [25] and Vermani [35].

In this paper we treat the general case, showing that the quotients of the product filtrations above are in fact iterated amalgamated sums of tensor products of the groups $\Delta_{n-i}/\Delta_{n-i+1}$ and $I^i(T)/I^{i+1}(T)$, amalgamated along certain subgroups of torsion products of these groups. We thus completely determine the groups $Q_n(G,H)$ for arbitrary $H = G, N, T$ and $n \leq 3$, and for $n = 4$ with the exception of one of the two direct factors of $Q_4(G,N)$, see section 2. Our description is functorial and in terms of enveloping rings of certain Lie algebras associated with N and T, see section 1. If suitable filtration quotients of N and T are torsion-free then our amalgamated sums degenerate to direct sum decompositions; we then express $Q_n(G,H)$ for $H = G, N, T$ in terms of tensor products of enveloping rings as above, thus improving and generalizing similar results of Karan and Vermani for $n \leq 4$ to all $n \geq 1$, see section 3.

The first three sections are devoted to a presentation of the necessary constructions and results while the proofs are deferred to sections 4 and 5.

1 Preliminary constructions and results

In this section we recall and partially generalize constructions and results from the literature which are needed in the sequel.

Let G be a group. An *N-series* $\mathcal{G}$ of G is a descending chain of subgroups

$$G = G_{(1)} \supset G_{(2)} \supset G_{(3)} \supset \dots$$

such that $[G_{(i)}, G_{(j)}] \subset G_{(i+j)}$ for $i, j \geq 1$, with $[a,b] = aba^{-1}b^{-1}$. A given N-series $\mathcal{G}$ induces a descending chain of two-sided ideals of the group ring $\mathbb{Z}(G)$

$$\mathbb{Z}(G) = I^0_{\mathcal{G}}(G) \supset I^1_{\mathcal{G}}(G) \supset I^2_{\mathcal{G}}(G) \supset \dots$$

by defining $I^n_{\mathcal{G}}(G)$ (for $n \geq 1$) to be the subgroup of $\mathbb{Z}(G)$ generated by the elements

$$(a_1 - 1) \cdots (a_r - 1)\,, \quad r \geq 1,\ a_i \in G_{(k_i)}, \text{ such that } k_1 + \ldots + k_r \geq n.$$

Two examples of N-series are used throughout in this paper:

- the lower central series $\gamma = (G_i)_{i \geq 1}$, $G_1 = G$ and $G_{i+1} = [G_i, G]$, where the inclusion $I(G_n) \subset I^n(G)$ implies that $I^n_\gamma(G)$ equals $I^n(G)$, the n-th power of the ideal $I(G)$;
- for a normal subgroup N of G an N-series $\mathcal{N} = (N_{(i)})_{i \geq 1}$ of N is defined by $N_{(i)} = [N_{(i-1)}, G]$; note that if $G = NT$ for some subgroup T then $N_{(i)} = [N_{(i-1)}, N][N_{(i-1)}, T]$.

The second example was introduced by Tahara; we here gather some basic results due to him [33] and to Khambadkone [17].

Theorem 1.1 *Suppose that G is the semidirect product of a normal subgroup N and some subgroup T. Write $\Lambda_n = I^n_{\mathcal{N}}(N)$ and let*

$$\mathcal{K}_n = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \Lambda_{n-i}\, I^i(T)\,, \qquad \mathcal{K}^*_n = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \Lambda_{n-i}\, I^i(T)\,, \qquad \Gamma^*_n = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} I^{n-i}(T)\, \Lambda_i\,.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} I(G) &= I(N) \quad \oplus \quad I(T) \quad \oplus \quad I(N)I(T) && (1)\\ &= I(T) \quad \oplus \quad I(N) \quad \oplus \quad I(T)I(N) && (2)\\ I^n(G) &= \Lambda_n \quad \oplus \quad I^n(T) \quad \oplus \quad \mathcal{K}_n && (3)\\ I^n(G)I(T) &= I^{n+1}(T) \quad \oplus \quad \mathcal{K}_{n+1} && (4)\\ I^n(G)I(N) &= \Lambda_n I(N) \quad \oplus \quad \Gamma^*_n I(N) && (5)\\ I(N)I^n(G) &= I(N)\Lambda_n \quad \oplus \quad I(N)\mathcal{K}^*_n\,. && (6) \end{aligned}$$

We need to make the obvious symmetry between the relations (5) and (6) more precise.

Remark 1.2 Let G be a group with distinguished N-series $\mathcal{G}$ and subgroup H. Then the anti-ring-automorphism $(-)^\star\colon \mathbb{Z}(G) \to \mathbb{Z}(G)$ sending $g \in G$ to g^{-1}, called conjugation, carries $I(H)I^n_{\mathcal{G}}(G)$ onto $I^n_{\mathcal{G}}(G)I(H)$ since the subgroups H and $G_{(i)}$ are stable under inversion. Hence it induces an isomorphism of abelian groups

$$I(H)I^{n-1}_{\mathcal{G}}(G)/I(H)I^n_{\mathcal{G}}(G) \;\cong\; I^{n-1}_{\mathcal{G}}(G)I(H)/I^n_{\mathcal{G}}(G)I(H)\,.$$

For $G = N \rtimes T$ as above, we also have

$$\begin{aligned}
(I(N)\mathcal{K}^*_n)^\star &= \Big(I(N)\sum_{i=1}^{n}\Lambda_{n-i}\, I^i(T)\Big)^\star \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{n} I^i(T)\,\Lambda_{n-i} I(N) \\
&= \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} I^{n-j}(T)\,\Lambda_j I(N) \\
&= \Gamma^*_n I(N)\,. \qquad (7)
\end{aligned}$$

Now recall that our aim is to determine the filtration quotients

$$Q_n(G) = I^n(G)/I^{n+1}(G)$$

$$Q_n(G,H) = I^{n-1}(G)I(H)/I^n(G)I(H)$$

for $H = N, T$; note that $Q_n(G) = Q_n(G,G)$. The relations above immediately imply the following identities.

$$Q_n(G) \;=\; \Lambda_n/\Lambda_{n+1} \;\oplus\; Q_n(T) \;\oplus\; \mathcal{K}_n/\mathcal{K}_{n+1} \qquad (8)$$

$$Q_n(G,T) \;=\; Q_n(T) \;\oplus\; \mathcal{K}_n/\mathcal{K}_{n+1} \qquad (9)$$

$$Q_n(G,N) \;=\; \Lambda_{n-1}I(N)/\Lambda_n I(N) \;\oplus\; \Gamma^*_{n-1}I(N)/\Gamma^*_n I(N) \qquad (10)$$

It turns out that the terms on the right hand side of the above identities fall into two categories: first of all, $\mathcal{K}_n/\mathcal{K}_{n+1}$ and $\Gamma^*_{n-1}I(N)/\Gamma^*_n I(N)$ each of which arises from the product of a filtration of $I(N)$ with one of $I(T)$; the strategy here is to express the quotients of these product filtrations in terms of - tensor and torsion - products of the factors. Once this "separation of the factors" is achieved (which is the main concern of this paper, see sections 2 and 3) one is left with dealing with the generalized Fox and augmentation quotients of N and T, i.e. the groups $Q^{\mathcal{K}}_n(K) = I^n_{\mathcal{K}}(K)/I^{n+1}_{\mathcal{K}}(K)$ for $K = N, T$ and $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{N}, \gamma$, resp., and $Q^{\mathcal{N}}_n(N,K) = I^{n-1}_{\mathcal{N}}(N)I(K)/I^n_{\mathcal{N}}(N)I(K)$ for some subgroup K of N (here only the case $K = N$ is needed), see the results in sections 2 and 3. The study of these groups requires the following constructions.

The basic idea, due to Quillen [26], is to approximate the groups $Q^{\mathcal{G}}_n(G)$ by means of enveloping algebras. The construction for arbitrary N-series $\mathcal{G}$ can be found in Passi's book [25], but we recall it here for convenience of the reader and to fix notation.

The abelian group $\mathrm{L}^{\mathcal{G}}(G) = \sum_{i\geq 1} G_{(i)}/G_{(i+1)}$ is a graded Lie ring whose bracket is induced by the commutator pairing of G. So its enveloping algebra $\mathrm{UL}^{\mathcal{G}}(G)$ over the integers is defined. On the other hand, the filtration quotients $Q^{\mathcal{G}}_n(G) = I^n_{\mathcal{G}}(G)/I^{n+1}_{\mathcal{G}}(G)$ form the graded ring $\mathrm{Gr}^{\mathcal{G}}(\mathbb{Z}(G)) = \oplus_{i=0} Q^{\mathcal{G}}_n(G)$; note that one has $\mathrm{Gr}^{\gamma}(\mathbb{Z}(G)) = \bigoplus_{n\geq 0} I^n(G)/I^{n+1}(G)$. Now the map $\mathrm{L}^{\mathcal{G}}(G) \to \mathrm{Gr}^{\mathcal{G}}(\mathbb{Z}(G))$, $aG_{(i+1)} \mapsto a - 1 + I^{i+1}_{\mathcal{G}}(G)$ for $a \in G_{(i)}$, is a homomorphism of graded Lie rings and hence extends to a map of graded rings

$$\theta^{\mathcal{G}} :\ \mathrm{UL}^{\mathcal{G}}(G) \twoheadrightarrow \mathrm{Gr}^{\mathcal{G}}(\mathbb{Z}(G))\,.$$

This map is clearly surjective but rarely globally injective; for instance, θ^{γ} is injective if G is cyclic, but is non injective for all non cyclic finite abelian groups [1]. An important favourable case is given by the following result which relies on work of Hartley [10].

Theorem 1.3 *Let G be a group and let $\mathcal{G} : G = G_{(1)} \supset G_{(2)} \supset \cdots$ be an N-series of G with torsion-free quotients $G_{(i)}/G_{(i+1)}$ for all $i \geq 1$. Then $\theta^{\mathcal{G}}$ is an isomorphism.*

Proof : In a first step, one adapts an argument of Quillen [26] for the case $\mathcal{G} = \gamma$ to show that the epimorphism

$$\theta^{\mathcal{G}}_{\mathbb{Q}} = \theta^{\mathcal{G}} \otimes \mathbb{Q} \ :\ \mathrm{U}(\mathrm{L}^{\mathcal{G}}(G) \otimes \mathbb{Q}) \twoheadrightarrow \mathrm{Gr}^{\mathcal{G}}(\mathbb{Q}(G))$$

is an isomorphism: indeed, the image of the canonical map of graded Lie rings $j_{\mathcal{G}} :\ \mathrm{L}^{\mathcal{G}}(G) \to \mathrm{Gr}^{\mathcal{G}}(\mathbb{Q}(G))$ consists of primitive elements (with respect to the Hopf algebra structure induced by the canonical one of $\mathbb{Q}(G)$), and generates $\mathrm{Gr}^{\mathcal{G}}(\mathbb{Q}(G))$ as an algebra (by definition of the filtration $(I^i_{\mathbb{Q},\mathcal{G}}(G))_{i\geq 0}$). So by the Milnor-Moore theorem $\mathrm{Gr}^{\mathcal{G}}(\mathbb{Q}(G))$ can be identified with the enveloping algebra of the Lie algebra of its primitive elements. Hence it suffices to check that the map $j_{\mathcal{G}} \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ is injective since then by a standard argument the Poincaré-Birkhoff-Witt theorem implies that $\theta^{\mathcal{G}} \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ is injective, too: to recall this, let $f\colon \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g}'$ be an injective map of Lie algebras over some field $\mathbb{K}$; we wish to show that the map of $\mathbb{K}$-algebras $\mathrm{U}(f)\colon \mathrm{U}(\mathfrak{g}) \to \mathrm{U}(\mathfrak{g}')$ induced by f is also injective. Denote by $\mathrm{SP}(\mathfrak{g})$ the symmetric algebra over the $\mathbb{K}$-vector space $\mathfrak{g}$, and by $\mathrm{GR}(\mathrm{U}(\mathfrak{g}))$ the graded algebra associated with the canonical increasing filtration of $\mathrm{U}(\mathfrak{g})$, see [3]. Now under the natural Poincaré-Birkhoff-Witt isomorphism of graded algebras $\mathrm{SP}(\mathfrak{g}) \overset{\cong}{\to} \mathrm{GR}(\mathrm{U}(\mathfrak{g}))$, the map $\mathrm{GR}(\mathrm{U}(f))\colon \mathrm{GR}(\mathrm{U}(\mathfrak{g})) \to \mathrm{GR}(\mathrm{U}(\mathfrak{g}'))$ corresponds to the map $\mathrm{SP}(f)$, which is injective since if $r\colon \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g}'$ is a $\mathbb{K}$-linear retraction of f then $\mathrm{SP}(r)$ is a retraction of $\mathrm{SP}(f)$. Thus $\mathrm{GR}(\mathrm{U}(f))$ is injective, too, and hence so is $\mathrm{U}(f)$, since any element of $\mathrm{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ lies in a finite step of the increasing filtration.

Now our map $j_{\mathcal{G}}$ is indeed injective by the hypothesis on $\mathcal{G}$, as is proved in [10], see also [25]. Thus also $\theta^{\mathcal{G}}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is injective, whence an isomorphism.

In order to descend to integral coefficients, consider the following sequence of homomorphisms of graded rings.

$$\mathrm{UL}^{\mathcal{G}}(G) \overset{\theta^{\mathcal{G}}}{\twoheadrightarrow} \mathrm{Gr}^{\mathcal{G}}(\mathbb{Z}(G)) \xrightarrow{\bar{\iota}} \mathrm{Gr}^{\mathcal{G}}(\mathbb{Q}(G)) \xrightarrow[(\theta^{\mathcal{G}}_{\mathbb{Q}})^{-1}]{\cong} \mathrm{U}(\mathrm{L}^{\mathcal{G}}(G) \otimes \mathbb{Q}) \cong \mathrm{UL}^{\mathcal{G}}(G) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$$

Here $\bar{\iota}$ is induced by the canonical injection $\iota\colon \mathbb{Z}(G) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Q}(G)$. The composite map $\zeta\colon \mathrm{UL}^{\mathcal{G}}(G) \to \mathrm{UL}^{\mathcal{G}}(G) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ sends $x \in \mathrm{UL}^{\mathcal{G}}(G)$ to $x \otimes 1$; this is easily checked on elements of $\mathrm{L}^{\mathcal{G}}(G)$ which generate $\mathrm{UL}^{\mathcal{G}}(G)$ as a ring. Hence ζ is injective since $\mathrm{UL}^{\mathcal{G}}(G)$ is torsion-free as $\mathrm{L}^{\mathcal{G}}(G)$ is, see [5, Lemma 1.11]. So the first factor $\theta^{\mathcal{G}}$ of ζ is also injective, as was to be shown. $\square$

Corollary 1.4 *Let $\mathcal{G}$ be an N-series of G such that for some $m \geq 1$ the groups $G_{(i)}/G_{(i+1)}$ are torsion-free for $1 \leq i \leq m$. Then in this range the map $\theta^{\mathcal{G}}_i :\ \mathrm{U}_i\mathrm{L}^{\mathcal{G}}(G) \twoheadrightarrow \mathrm{Gr}^{\mathcal{G}}_i(\mathbb{Z}(G))$ is an isomorphism and the groups $I(G)/I^{i+1}_{\mathcal{G}}(G)$ are torsion-free.*

Proof: Just note that passing to the quotient $G \twoheadrightarrow G/G_{(m+1)}$ does affect neither $\mathrm{U}_i\mathrm{L}^{\mathcal{G}}(G)$ nor $\mathrm{Gr}^{\mathcal{G}}_i(\mathbb{Z}(G))$ for $i \leq m$; but $G/G_{(m+1)}$ satisfies the hypothesis of Theorem 1.3 for the N-series $\pi\mathcal{G} :\ G/G_{(m+1)} \supset G_{(2)}/G_{(m+1)} \supset \ldots$ of $G/G_{(m+1)}$. Moreover, $\mathrm{L}^{\pi\mathcal{G}}(G/G_{(m+1)})$ being torsion-free so is its enveloping algebra (see [5, lemma 1.11]) and hence $\mathrm{Gr}^{\mathcal{G}}_i(\mathbb{Z}(G/G_{(m+1)})) \cong \mathrm{Gr}^{\mathcal{G}}_i(\mathbb{Z}(G)) = I^i_{\mathcal{G}}(G)/I^{i+1}_{\mathcal{G}}(G)$ for $i \leq m$. Consequently also $I(G)/I^{i+1}_{\mathcal{G}}(G)$ is torsion-free being an iterated extension of the groups $I^j_{\mathcal{G}}(G)/I^{j+1}_{\mathcal{G}}(G)$ for $1 \leq j \leq i$. $\square$

If $\mathcal{G}$ is an arbitrary N-series, the kernel of $\theta^{\mathcal{G}}$ is a torsion group since $\theta^{\mathcal{G}}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is an isomorphism, but its structure remains widely unknown, even for $\mathcal{G} = \gamma$. At least in low degrees the problem is settled; the following result was obtained in [1] for $\mathcal{G} = \gamma$ and in [4] for arbitrary N-series $\mathcal{G}$.

Theorem 1.5 *The map $\theta^{\mathcal{G}}_n$ is an isomorphism for $n = 1, 2$ and all groups G and N-series $\mathcal{G}$ of G.*

This result is actually generalized in Theorem 1.7 below.

We need to make Theorem 1.5 more explicit: it says that $Q^{\mathcal{G}}_1(G) \cong G/G_{(2)}$, and that $Q^{\mathcal{G}}_2(G)$ can be described as follows. Recall that the exterior square $A \wedge A$ of an abelian group A is defined to be the quotient of $A \otimes A$ modulo the subgroup generated by the diagonal elements. We write $G^{ab} = G/G_2$ and $G^{AB} = G/G_{(2)}$, the same for H; note that $G^{AB} = \mathrm{L}^{\mathcal{G}}_1(G) \cong \mathrm{U}_1\mathrm{L}^{\mathcal{G}}(G)$. Then we have natural homomorphisms

$$G_{(2)}/G_{(3)} \xleftarrow{c^{\mathcal{G}}_2} G^{AB} \wedge G^{AB} \xrightarrow{l^{\mathcal{G}}_2} G^{AB} \otimes G^{AB} \xrightarrow{\mu^{\mathcal{G}}_2} Q^{\mathcal{G}}_2(G) \xleftarrow{p^{\mathcal{G}}_2} G_{(2)}/G_{(3)}$$

defined for $a, b \in G$ by $c_2^{\mathcal{G}}(aG_{(2)} \wedge bG_{(2)}) = [a,b]G_{(3)}$, $l_2^{\mathcal{G}}(aG_{(2)} \wedge bG_{(2)}) = aG_{(2)} \otimes bG_{(2)} - bG_{(2)} \otimes aG_{(2)}$, $\mu_2^{\mathcal{G}}(aG_{(2)} \otimes bG_{(2)}) = (a-1)(b-1) + I_{\mathcal{G}}^3(G)$, and $p_2^{\mathcal{G}}(aG_{(3)}) = a - 1 + I_{\mathcal{G}}^3(G)$. Then by Theorem 1.5 the following sequence is exact:

$$G^{AB} \wedge G^{AB} \quad \xrightarrow{(c_2^{\mathcal{G}}, -l_2^{\mathcal{G}})} \quad G_{(2)}/G_{(3)} \quad \oplus \quad G^{AB} \otimes G^{AB} \quad \xrightarrow{(p_2^{\mathcal{G}}, \mu_2^{\mathcal{G}})^t} \quad Q_2^{\mathcal{G}}(G) \quad \longrightarrow \quad 0 \qquad (11)$$

In order to describe the third Fox and augmentation quotients of G we need the following constructions. Let $\mathcal{G}$ be an N-series of G, H a subgroup of G, and $\mathcal{H} = (H_{(i)})_{i \geq 1}$ be an N-series of H such that $H_{(i)} \subset G_{(i)}$ for $i \geq 1$. These data give rise to a filtration

$$\mathcal{F}^1 = \mathbb{Z}(G)I(H) \supset \mathcal{F}^2 = \mathbb{Z}(G)I(H_{(2)}) + I(G)I(H) \supset \ldots$$

of $\mathbb{Z}(G)I(H)$ by sub-$\mathbb{Z}(G)$-$\mathbb{Z}(H)$-bimodules $\mathcal{F}^n$ defined by

$$\mathcal{F}^n = \sum_{\substack{i \geq 0,\ j \geq 1 \\ i+j=n}} I_{\mathcal{G}}^i(G) I_{\mathcal{H}}^j(H) = \sum_{\substack{i \geq 0,\ j \geq 1 \\ i+j=n}} I_{\mathcal{G}}^i(G) I(H_{(j)}) \, .$$

Note that if $\mathcal{H} = \gamma$ then $\mathcal{F}^n = I_{\mathcal{G}}^{n-1}(G)I(H)$ since $I_\gamma^j(H) = I^j(H)$, and if $H = G$ and $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{G}$ then $\mathcal{F}^n = I_{\mathcal{G}}^n(G)$. The associated graded group $\mathrm{Gr}^{\mathcal{G}\mathcal{H}}(\mathbb{Z}(G)I(H)) = \bigoplus_{n \geq 1} \mathcal{F}^n/\mathcal{F}^{n+1}$ is a graded $\mathrm{Gr}^{\mathcal{G}}(\mathbb{Z}(G))$–$\mathrm{Gr}^{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbb{Z}(H))$–bimodule in the canonical way, and hence a $\mathrm{UL}^{\mathcal{G}}(G) - \mathrm{UL}^{\mathcal{H}}(H)$-bimodule via the maps $\theta^{\mathcal{G}}$ and $\theta^{\mathcal{H}}$.

We now generalize the approximation of the ring $\mathrm{Gr}^{\mathcal{G}}(\mathbb{Z}(G))$ by $\mathrm{UL}^{\mathcal{G}}(G)$ to the bimodule $\mathrm{Gr}^{\mathcal{G}\mathcal{H}}(\mathbb{Z}(G)I(H))$, as follows. The injection $\iota\colon H \hookrightarrow G$ induces a canonical map of graded Lie rings $\mathrm{L}(\iota)\colon \mathrm{L}^{\mathcal{H}}(H) \to \mathrm{L}^{\mathcal{G}}(G)$ which extends to a map of graded rings $\mathrm{UL}(\iota)\colon \mathrm{UL}^{\mathcal{H}}(H) \to \mathrm{UL}^{\mathcal{G}}(G)$. It makes $\mathrm{UL}^{\mathcal{G}}(G)$ into a $\mathrm{UL}^{\mathcal{H}}(H)$-bimodule, whence the graded $\mathrm{UL}^{\mathcal{G}}(G)$-$\mathrm{UL}^{\mathcal{H}}(H)$-bimodule

$$\mathrm{U}^{\mathcal{G}\mathcal{H}}(G,H) = \mathrm{UL}^{\mathcal{G}}(G) \otimes_{\mathrm{UL}^{\mathcal{H}}(H)} \bar{\mathrm{U}}\mathrm{L}^{\mathcal{H}}(H) \qquad (12)$$

is defined where $\bar{\mathrm{U}}\mathrm{L}^{\mathcal{H}}(H)$ denotes the augmentation ideal of $\mathrm{UL}^{\mathcal{H}}(H)$. Now let the surjective map of $\mathrm{UL}^{\mathcal{G}}(G)$-$\mathrm{UL}^{\mathcal{H}}(H)$-bimodules

$$\theta^{\mathcal{G}\mathcal{H}}: \ \mathrm{U}^{\mathcal{G}\mathcal{H}}(G,H) \ \twoheadrightarrow \ \mathrm{Gr}^{\mathcal{G}\mathcal{H}}(\mathbb{Z}(G)I(H))$$

be defined as follows: as $I_{\mathcal{H}}^n(H) \subset \mathcal{F}_n$ for $n \geq 1$, we obtain a map of graded left $\mathrm{Gr}^{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbb{Z}(H))$-modules $\mathrm{Gr}^{\mathcal{H}}(I(H)) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Gr}^{\mathcal{G}\mathcal{H}}(\mathbb{Z}(G)I(H))$ which by precomposition with $\theta^{\mathcal{H}}$ gives rise to a map of graded left $\mathrm{UL}^{\mathcal{H}}(H)$-modules $\bar{\mathrm{U}}\mathrm{L}^{\mathcal{H}}(H) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Gr}^{\mathcal{G}\mathcal{H}}(\mathbb{Z}(G)I(H))$. Now $\theta^{\mathcal{G}\mathcal{H}}$ is obtained by extension of scalars along the map $\mathrm{UL}(\iota)$. More explicitly, for $i \geq 0$, $j \geq 1$ such that $i + j = n$, $x \in \mathrm{U}_i\mathrm{L}^{\mathcal{G}}(G)$, $y \in \mathrm{U}_j\mathrm{L}^{\mathcal{H}}(H)$, $x' \in I_{\mathcal{G}}^i(G)$ and $y' \in I_{\mathcal{H}}^j(H)$ such that $\theta_i^{\mathcal{G}}(x) = x' + I_{\mathcal{G}}^{i+1}(G)$ and $\theta_j^{\mathcal{H}}(y) = y' + I_{\mathcal{H}}^{i+1}(H)$, one has $\theta_n^{\mathcal{G}\mathcal{H}}(x \otimes y) = x'y' + \mathcal{F}^{n+1}$. Note that for $H = G$

and $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{G}$, $\theta^{\mathcal{G}\mathcal{G}} = \theta^{\mathcal{G}}\mu^{\mathcal{G}}$ where $\mu^{\mathcal{G}} : \mathrm{U}^{\mathcal{G}\mathcal{G}}(G,G) \xrightarrow{\cong} \bar{\mathrm{U}}\mathrm{L}^{\mathcal{G}}(G)$ is the canonical isomorphism.

We now study the map $\theta^{\mathcal{G}\mathcal{H}}$ in degree $n \le 3$. To start with, it is clear that

$$\mathrm{U}_1^{\mathcal{G}\mathcal{H}}(G,H) \;\cong\; \mathrm{U}_1\mathrm{L}^{\mathcal{H}}(H) \;\cong\; \mathrm{L}_1^{\mathcal{H}}(H) \;\cong\; H^{AB}\,. \tag{13}$$

The following convention turns out to be convenient throughout the rest of this paper.

Convention 1.6 *For a group K with N-series $\mathcal{K} = (K_{(i)})_{i\ge1}$ and $a \in K_{(i)}$ we consider the coset $aK_{(i+1)} \in K_{(i)}/K_{(i+1)} = \mathrm{L}_i^{\mathcal{K}}(K)$ also as an element of $\mathrm{U}_i\mathrm{L}^{\mathcal{K}}(K)$, thus suppressing the canonical map $\nu\colon \mathrm{L}^{\mathcal{K}}(K) \to \mathrm{U}\mathrm{L}^{\mathcal{K}}(K)$ from the notation. Moreover, all sums, powers and products of cosets enclosed between brackets, of the type $(aK_{(i+1)})$ with $a \in K_{(i)}$, are understood to be taken in the ring $\mathrm{U}\mathrm{L}^{\mathcal{K}}(K)$.*

Now for $i \ge 0$ and $j \ge 1$ let

$$\nu_{ij} :\; \mathrm{U}_i\mathrm{L}^{\mathcal{G}}(G) \otimes \mathrm{U}_j\mathrm{L}^{\mathcal{H}}(H) \to \mathrm{U}_{i+j}^{\mathcal{G}\mathcal{H}}(G,H)$$

be the canonical map, and

$$u\colon \bar{\mathrm{U}}\mathrm{L}^{\mathcal{H}}(H) \longrightarrow \mathrm{U}^{\mathcal{G}\mathcal{H}}(G,H)$$

be the map of graded $\mathrm{U}\mathrm{L}^{\mathcal{H}}(H)$-bimodules given by $u(x) = 1 \otimes x$. Finally, let

$$q_n^{\mathcal{G}}\colon G/G_n \twoheadrightarrow G/G_{(n)}$$

be the canonical quotient map induced by the inclusion $G_n \subset G_{(n)}$; and maps denoted by π_k for some or no index k always denote canonical quotient maps.

Theorem 1.7 *The maps $\theta_n^{\mathcal{G}\mathcal{H}}$ are isomorphisms for $n = 1, 2$ and all $G, H, \mathcal{G}$ and compatible $\mathcal{H}$ as above.*

Note that taking $(H,\mathcal{H}) = (G,\mathcal{G})$ we thus recover Theorem 1.5.

Proof: For $n = 1$, we use the classical isomorphism

$$D_H\colon H^{ab} \;\xrightarrow{\cong}\; \frac{\mathbb{Z}(G)I(H)}{I(G)I(H)}\,, \quad D_H(hH_2) = h - 1 + I(G)I(H)\,, \tag{14}$$

due to Whitcomb, see [36], or [9, Proposition 3.1] for an easy homological proof of a more general fact. We obtain isomorphisms

$$\mathrm{U}_1^{\mathcal{G}\mathcal{H}}(G,H) \;\cong\; H^{AB} \;\cong\; \frac{(H/H_2)}{\mathrm{Im}(H_{(2)})} \;\overset{\overline{D_H}}{\cong}\; \frac{\mathbb{Z}(G)I(H)}{I(G)I(H)}\Big/\mathrm{Im}(\mathbb{Z}(G)I(H_{(2)})) \;\cong\; \mathcal{F}_1/\mathcal{F}_2$$

whose composite is $\theta_1^{\mathcal{G}\mathcal{H}}$.

Now let $n = 2$. As in [9], our arguments are most conveniently formulated in the language of pushouts of abelian groups, thereby using their elementary properties, in particular the gluing of pushouts and the link between the kernels of parallel maps in a pushout square, see [27].

Consider the following diagram whose top row is exact taking the right-hand map to be given by the projection to the cokernel of the left-hand map followed by the isomorphism D_H^{-1} in (14), and where j_1 is induced by the corresponding injection and D' is given by restriction of $j_1 D_{H_{(3)}}$.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\frac{I(G)I(H)}{I^2_{\mathcal{G}}(G)I(H)+I(G)I^2_{\mathcal{H}}(H)} \arrow[r, hookrightarrow] & \frac{\mathbb{Z}(G)I(H)}{I^2_{\mathcal{G}}(G)I(H)+I(G)I^2_{\mathcal{H}}(H)} \arrow[r] & \frac{H}{H_2} \arrow[r] & 0 \\
 & \frac{\mathbb{Z}(G)I(H_{(3)})}{I(G)I(H_{(3)})} \arrow[u, "j_1"] & & \\
\frac{H_{(3)}\cap H_2}{[H_{(3)},H_{(3)}]} \arrow[uu, "D'"] \arrow[r, hookrightarrow] & \frac{H_{(3)}}{[H_{(3)},H_{(3)}]} \arrow[u, "D_{H_{(3)}}", "\cong"'] \arrow[r] & \frac{H_{(3)}H_2}{H_2} \arrow[uu, hookrightarrow] \arrow[r] & 0
\end{tikzcd} \tag{15}$$

As both rows are exact, we see that

$$\frac{I(G)I(H)}{I^2_{\mathcal{G}}(G)I(H)+I(G)I^2_{\mathcal{H}}(H)} \ \cap \ \operatorname{Im}(j_1) = \frac{I(H_{(3)}\cap H_2)+I^2_{\mathcal{G}}(G)I(H)+I(G)I^2_{\mathcal{H}}(H)}{I^2_{\mathcal{G}}(G)I(H)+I(G)I^2_{\mathcal{H}}(H)} \tag{16}$$

Now consider the following commutative diagram where $\mu((gG_{(2)})\otimes(hH_{(2)})) = (g-1)(h-1)+I^2_{\mathcal{G}}(G)I(H)$, and similarly for μ'; $D_2(h'H_3) = h'-1+I^2_{\mathcal{G}}(G)I(H)$ for $h \in H_2$, and similarly or D'_2.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
H^{ab}\wedge H^{ab} \arrow[r, "(q_2^{\mathcal{G}}\iota^{ab}\otimes 1)l_2^{\gamma}"] \arrow[d, two heads, "c_2^{\gamma}"] & G^{AB}\otimes H^{ab} \arrow[r, two heads, "1\otimes q_2^{\mathcal{H}}"] \arrow[d, two heads, "\mu"] & G^{AB}\otimes H^{AB} \arrow[d, two heads, "\mu'"] \\
\frac{H_2}{H_3} \arrow[r, "D_2"] \arrow[d, two heads, "\pi_2"] & \frac{I(G)I(H)}{I^2_{\mathcal{G}}(G)I(H)} \arrow[r, two heads, "\pi_1"] & \frac{I(G)I(H)}{I^2_{\mathcal{G}}(G)I(H)+I(G)I^2_{\mathcal{H}}(H)} \arrow[d, two heads, "\pi_3"] \\
\frac{H_2}{H_{(3)}} \arrow[rr, "D'_2"] & & \frac{I(G)I(H)+\mathbb{Z}(G)I(H_{(3)})}{I^2_{\mathcal{G}}(G)I(H)+I(G)I^2_{\mathcal{H}}(H)+\mathbb{Z}(G)I(H_{(3)})}
\end{tikzcd}$$

The upper left-hand square is a pushout by [9, Theorem 3.6]; the upper right-hand square is a pushout since π_1 is surjective and $\operatorname{Ker}(\pi_1) = \mu\operatorname{Ker}(1\otimes q_2^{\mathcal{H}})$. By gluing of pushouts it follows that the upper exterior rectange also is a pushout. Furthermore, the lower rectangle is a pushout since π_3 is surjective and $\mathrm{Ker}\,(\pi_3) = D_2\pi_1\mathrm{Ker}\,(\pi_2)$ by (16), so again by gluing the two pushout rectangles the exterior square of the whole diagram also is a pushout. Thus

$$\mathrm{Ker}\,(\pi_3\mu') = (q_2^{\mathcal{G}}\iota^{ab} \otimes q_2^{\mathcal{H}})l_2^{\gamma}\,\mathrm{Ker}\,(\pi_2 c_2^{\gamma})$$

We can simplify this description of $\mathrm{Ker}\,(\pi_3\mu')$ by observing that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
H^{ab} \wedge H^{ab} \arrow[rr, "(q_2^{\mathcal{G}}\iota^{ab}\otimes q_2^{\mathcal{H}})l_2^{\gamma}"] \arrow[d, "\pi_2 c_2^{\gamma}"] \arrow[rrd, two heads, "q_2^{\mathcal{H}} \wedge q_2^{\mathcal{H}}"] & & G^{AB} \otimes H^{AB} \\
H_2/H_{(3)} \arrow[r, hook] & H_{(2)}/H_{(3)} & H^{AB} \wedge H^{AB} \arrow[l, "c_2^{\mathcal{H}}"] \arrow[u, "(\iota^{AB}\otimes 1)l_2^{\mathcal{H}}"']
\end{tikzcd}$$

It follows that

$$\mathrm{Ker}\,(\pi_3\mu') = (\iota^{AB} \otimes 1)l_2^{\mathcal{H}}\mathrm{Ker}\,(c_2^{\mathcal{H}}) \tag{17}$$

Consider the following diagram of plain arrows.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\dfrac{I(G)I(H) + \mathbb{Z}(G)I(H_{(3)})}{\mathcal{F}_3} \arrow[r, hook, "j_2"] \arrow[d, equal] & \dfrac{\mathcal{F}_2}{\mathcal{F}_3} \arrow[r, two heads, "\pi_4"] \arrow[d, hook, "j_3"] & \mathrm{Coker}(j_2) \arrow[r, dotted, "\beta"] \arrow[d, "\overline{\pi_5 j_3}"] & \dfrac{H_{(2)}}{H_2H_{(3)}} \arrow[d, hook] \\
\dfrac{I(G)I(H) + \mathbb{Z}(G)I(H_{(3)})}{\mathcal{F}_3} \arrow[r, hook] & \dfrac{\mathbb{Z}(G)I(H)}{\mathcal{F}_3} \arrow[r, two heads, "\pi_5"] & \dfrac{\mathbb{Z}(G)I(H)}{I(G)I(H) + \mathbb{Z}(G)I(H_{(3)})} \arrow[r, "(\overline{D_H})^{-1}", "\cong"'] & \dfrac{H}{H_2H_{(3)}}
\end{tikzcd}$$

As both rows are exact in the second group, the map $\overline{\pi_5 j_3}$ induced by $\pi_5 j_3$ is injective. Moreover, $\mathrm{Im}\big((\overline{D_H})^{-1}\circ\overline{\pi_5 j_3}\big) = H_{(2)}/H_2H_{(3)}$, whence the map β exists and is an isomorphism. Together with (17) we obtain an exact sequence

$$\mathrm{Ker}\,(c_2^{\mathcal{H}}) \xrightarrow{(\iota^{AB}\otimes 1)l_2^{\mathcal{H}}} G^{AB} \otimes H^{AB} \xrightarrow{j_2\pi_3\mu'} \mathcal{F}_2/\mathcal{F}_3 \xrightarrow{\beta\pi_4} H_{(2)}/H_2H_{(3)} \longrightarrow 0 \tag{18}$$

As to $\mathrm{U}_2^{\mathcal{GH}}(G, H)$, consider the following diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
H^{AB} \wedge H^{AB} \arrow[r, "l_2^{\mathcal{H}}"] \arrow[d, "c_2^{\mathcal{H}}"] & H^{AB} \otimes H^{AB} \arrow[r, "\iota^{AB}\otimes 1"] \arrow[d, "\mu_2^{\mathcal{H}}\nu_{11}^{\mathcal{HH}}"] & G^{AB} \otimes H^{AB} \arrow[d, "\nu_{11}^{\mathcal{GH}}"] \\
H_{(2)}/H_{(3)} \arrow[r, "\nu_2"] & \mathrm{U}_2\mathrm{L}^{\mathcal{H}}(H) \arrow[r, "u_2"] & \mathrm{U}_2^{\mathcal{GH}}(G, H)
\end{tikzcd} \tag{19}$$

One easily checks that both squares are pushouts, by construction of the enveloping algebra and of $\mathrm{U}_2^{\mathcal{GH}}(G, H)$, resp., and taking the gradings into account. Thus the exterior rectangle is a pushout, too, whence $\mathrm{Ker}\,(\nu_{11}^{\mathcal{G}\mathcal{H}}) = (\iota^{AB}\otimes 1)l_2^{\mathcal{H}}\mathrm{Ker}\,(c_2^{\mathcal{H}})$, and there is an isomorphism $\overline{u_2\nu_2}\colon H_{(2)}/H_2H_{(3)} = \mathrm{Coker}(c_2^{\mathcal{H}}) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathrm{Coker}(\nu_{11}^{\mathcal{G}\mathcal{H}})$ induced by $u_2\nu_2$. These facts can be rewritten as an exact sequence

$$\mathrm{Ker}\,(c_2^{\mathcal{H}}) \xrightarrow{(\iota^{AB}\otimes 1)l_2^{\mathcal{H}}} G^{AB}\otimes H^{AB} \xrightarrow{\nu_{11}^{\mathcal{G}\mathcal{H}}} \mathrm{U}_2^{\mathcal{G}\mathcal{H}}(G,H) \xrightarrow{(\overline{u_2\nu_2})^{-1}\pi_6} H_{(2)}/H_2H_{(3)} \longrightarrow 0 \tag{20}$$

where $\pi_6\colon \mathrm{U}_2^{\mathcal{G}\mathcal{H}}(G,H) \twoheadrightarrow \mathrm{Coker}(\nu_{11}^{\mathcal{G}\mathcal{H}})$ is the quotient map. Now comparing sequences (18) and (20) we see that it suffices to show that the map $\theta_2^{\mathcal{G}\mathcal{H}}\colon \mathrm{U}_2^{\mathcal{G}\mathcal{H}}(G,H) \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}_2/\mathcal{F}_3$ commutes with the incoming and outgoing maps, since then the five-lemma implies that it is an isomorphism. But the identity $\theta_2^{\mathcal{G}\mathcal{H}}\nu_{11}^{\mathcal{G}\mathcal{H}} = j_2\pi_3\mu'$ is readily checked by going through the definitions; and to check the identity

$$\beta\pi_4\theta_2^{\mathcal{G}\mathcal{H}} = (\overline{u_2\nu_2})^{-1}\pi_6 \tag{21}$$

first note that the two pushout squares in (19) imply that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{U}_2^{\mathcal{G}\mathcal{H}}(G,H) &= \mathrm{Im}(u_2) + \mathrm{Im}(\nu_{11}^{\mathcal{G}\mathcal{H}})\\
&= \mathrm{Im}(u_2\nu_2) + \mathrm{Im}(u_2\mu_2^{\mathcal{H}}\nu_{11}^{\mathcal{H}\mathcal{H}}) + \mathrm{Im}(\nu_{11}^{\mathcal{G}\mathcal{H}})\\
&= \mathrm{Im}(u_2\nu_2) + \mathrm{Im}(\nu_{11}^{\mathcal{G}\mathcal{H}})
\end{aligned}$$

Thus it suffices to check (21) after precomposition with $u_2\nu_2$ and $\nu_{11}^{\mathcal{G}\mathcal{H}}$, which is immediate. □

As to the map $\theta_n^{\mathcal{G}\mathcal{H}}$ for $n\geq 3$, part of its kernel is determined in [9] for $\mathcal{H}=\gamma$; indeed, all arguments there remain valid for arbitray $\mathcal{H}$ as above, thus providing an explicitly defined subgroup $\mathcal{R}_n^{\mathcal{G}\mathcal{H}}$ of $\mathrm{U}^{\mathcal{G}\mathcal{H}}(G,H)$ contained in $\mathrm{Ker}\,(\theta^{\mathcal{G}\mathcal{H}})$. In particular, one has $\mathcal{R}_n^{\mathcal{G}\mathcal{H}}=0$ for $n=1,2$, and $\mathcal{R}_3^{\mathcal{G}\mathcal{H}}$ is generated by the elements

$$1\otimes(cH_{(4)}) - \sum_{q=1}^{p}(a_qG_{(3)})\otimes(b_qH_{(2)}) - (b_qG_{(3)})\otimes(a_qH_{(2)}) \tag{22}$$

where $p\geq 1$, $a_q, b_q \in H\cap G_{(2)}$ such that $c=\prod_{q=1}^{p}[a_q,b_q]\in H_{(3)}$. It is shown in [9] that $\mathcal{R}_n^{\mathcal{G}\mathcal{H}}\,\bar{\mathrm{U}}\mathrm{L}^{\mathcal{H}}(H)=0$, so the quotient group

$$\bar{\mathrm{U}}^{\mathcal{G}\mathcal{H}}(G,H) \overset{def}{=} \mathrm{U}^{\mathcal{G}\mathcal{H}}(G,H)\Big/\mathrm{UL}^{\mathcal{G}}(G)\sum_{n\geq 3}\mathcal{R}_n^{\mathcal{G}H}$$

is a graded $\mathrm{UL}^{\mathcal{G}}(G)$–$\mathrm{UL}^{\mathcal{H}}(H)$-bimodule, and $\theta^{\mathcal{G}\mathcal{H}}$ induces a surjective homomorphism of graded $\mathrm{UL}^{\mathcal{G}}(G)$–$\mathrm{UL}^{\mathcal{H}}(H)$-bimodules

$$\bar{\theta}^{\mathcal{G}H}\ :\ \bar{\mathrm{U}}^{\mathcal{G}H}(G,H) \twoheadrightarrow \mathrm{Gr}^{\mathcal{G}\mathcal{H}}(\mathbb{Z}(G)I(H))\ .$$

In particular, $\bar{\mathrm{U}}^{\mathcal{G}\mathcal{G}}(G,G)=\mathrm{U}^{\mathcal{G}\mathcal{G}}(G,G)$ and $\bar{\theta}^{\mathcal{G}\mathcal{G}}=\theta^{\mathcal{G}\mathcal{G}}=\theta^{\mathcal{G}}\mu^{\mathcal{G}}$, by construction of $\mathcal{R}_n^{\mathcal{G}\mathcal{G}}$. While we have no information about $\mathrm{Ker}\,(\bar{\theta}_n^{\mathcal{G}\mathcal{H}})$ for $n\geq 4$ it was computed for

$n=3$ in the cases needed in this paper, namely for $\mathcal{H}=\gamma$ in [9] and for $(H,\mathcal{H})=(G,\mathcal{G})$ in [4]. Both results involve the *torsion operator* $\delta_1^{\mathcal{G}\mathcal{H}}$ described below which basically is the difference between a left and a right connecting homomorphism; it also describes non trivial torsion relations in the homology of nilpotent groups (see [6]) and thus plays an important role in dimension subgroups [8], whence seems to be quite a fundamental phenomenon.

In fact, all torsion operators in this paper arise from decreasing filtrations $\Delta:\ I(K)=\Delta_1\supset\Delta_2\supset\cdots$ of $I(K)$ for some group K by subgroups Δ_i; such a filtration gives rise to short exact sequences

$$0\ \to\ \frac{\Delta_{p+1}}{\Delta_{p+2}}\ \overset{\alpha}{\hookrightarrow}\ \frac{\Delta_p}{\Delta_{p+2}}\ \overset{\rho}{\twoheadrightarrow}\ \frac{\Delta_p}{\Delta_{p+1}}\ \to\ 0 \tag{23}$$

for $p\geq 1$ where α,ρ denote the canonical injection and quotient map, resp.

Moreover, we need Passi's *polynomial groups with respect to* $\mathcal{G}$ which are denoted by

$$P_n^{\mathcal{G}}(G)=I_{\mathcal{G}}(G)/I_{\mathcal{G}}^{n+1}(G)$$

see [25]. By Theorem 1.5 sequence (23) for $(K,\Delta_i)=(G,I_{\mathcal{G}}^i(G))$ and $p=1$ gives rise to a natural exact sequence

$$0\to \mathrm{U}_2\mathrm{L}^{\mathcal{G}}(G)\ \xrightarrow{\bar{\mu}_2^{\mathcal{G}}}\ P_2^{\mathcal{G}}(G)\ \xrightarrow{\rho_2^{\mathcal{G}}}\ G^{AB}\to 0\,. \tag{24}$$

with $\bar{\mu}_2^{\mathcal{G}}=\alpha\theta_2^{\mathcal{G}}$ and $\rho_2^{\mathcal{G}}=(\theta_1^{\mathcal{G}})^{-1}\rho$. Tensoring this sequence by H^{AB} and the analogous sequence for H by G^{AB} gives rise to natural exact sequences

$$\mathrm{Tor}_1^{\mathbb{Z}}(G^{AB},H^{AB})\ \xrightarrow{\tau_{\mathcal{G}}}\ \mathrm{U}_2\mathrm{L}^{\mathcal{G}}(G)\otimes\mathrm{U}_1\mathrm{L}^{\mathcal{H}}(H)\ \xrightarrow{\bar{\mu}_2^{\mathcal{G}}\otimes id}\ P_2^{\mathcal{G}}(G)\otimes H^{AB}\ \xrightarrow{\rho_2^{\mathcal{G}}\otimes id}\ G^{AB}\otimes H^{AB}\to 0 \tag{25}$$

$$\mathrm{Tor}_1^{\mathbb{Z}}(G^{AB},H^{AB})\ \xrightarrow{\tau_{\mathcal{H}}}\ \mathrm{U}_1\mathrm{L}^{\mathcal{G}}(G)\otimes\mathrm{U}_2\mathrm{L}^{\mathcal{H}}(H)\ \xrightarrow{id\otimes\bar{\mu}_2^{\mathcal{H}}}\ G^{AB}\otimes P_2^{\mathcal{H}}(H)\ \xrightarrow{id\otimes\rho_2^{\mathcal{H}}}\ G^{AB}\otimes H^{AB}\to 0 \tag{26}$$

see [21, Theorem V.6.1]. Then define the torsion operator

$$\delta_1^{\mathcal{G}\mathcal{H}}=\nu_{12}\tau_{\mathcal{H}}-\nu_{21}\tau_{\mathcal{G}}\ :\ \mathrm{Tor}_1^{\mathbb{Z}}(G^{AB},H^{AB})\ \longrightarrow\ \mathrm{U}_3^{\mathcal{G}\mathcal{H}}(G,H)\,. \tag{27}$$

To describe $\delta_1^{\mathcal{G}\mathcal{H}}$ more explicitly we recall from [21, V.6] the description of explicit canonical generators of the torsion product $\mathrm{Tor}_1^{\mathbb{Z}}(A\,,B)$ of abelian groups A,B. Suppose that $A=A_1/A_2$ and $B=B_1/B_1$ with A_1,B_1 some (non necessarily abelian) groups. Then these generators are of the form

$$\langle aA_2,k,bB_2\rangle\ \text{ with }\ a\in A_1\,,\ k\in\mathbb{Z}\,,\ b\in B_1\ \text{ such that }\ a^k\in A_2\ \text{ and }\ b^k\in B_2\,. \tag{28}$$

As a model for all subsequent calculations, we give a detailed computation of $\delta_1^{\mathcal{G}\mathcal{H}}$, as follows.

Lemma 1.8 *Let* $\langle \bar{g}, k, \bar{h}\rangle$ *be a canonical generator of* $\mathrm{Tor}_1^{\mathbb{Z}}(G^{AB}, H^{AB})$. *Then*

$$\delta_1^{\mathcal{G}\mathcal{H}}\langle \bar{g}, k, \bar{h}\rangle = \bar{g} \otimes (h^k H_{(3)}) - (g^k G_{(3)}) \otimes \bar{h} + \binom{k}{2}(\bar{g}^2 \otimes \bar{h} - \bar{g} \otimes \bar{h}^2) \tag{29}$$

where we recall that the squares $\bar{g}^2, \bar{h}^2$ *are taken in the rings* $\mathrm{UL}^{\mathcal{G}}(G)$ *and* $\mathrm{UL}^{\mathcal{H}}(H)$, *resp.*

Proof: We give the computation of $\nu_{21}\tau_{\mathcal{G}}$, the one of $\nu_{12}\tau_{\mathcal{H}}$ being symmetric to this one.

Throughout in this paper, we use the following fundamental relations in $\mathbb{Z}(G)$, for $a \in G_{(i)}$, $b \in G_{(j)}$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $n \geq 2$ where $[a-1, b-1]$ denotes the ring commutator in $\mathbb{Z}(G)$.

$$\begin{aligned} ab - 1 &= (a-1) + (b-1) + (a-1)(b-1) \\ &\equiv (a-1) + (b-1) \mod I_{\mathcal{G}}^{i+j}(G) \end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

$$\begin{aligned} a^k - 1 &= (1 + (a-1))^k - 1 \\ &\equiv \sum_{p=1}^{n-1} \binom{k}{p} (a-1)^p \mod I_{\mathcal{G}}^{ni}(G) \end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

$$\begin{aligned} [a, b] - 1 &= [a-1, b-1]a^{-1}b^{-1} \\ &= [a-1, b-1] + [a-1, b-1](a^{-1}b^{-1} - 1) \\ &\equiv [a-1, b-1] \mod I_{\mathcal{G}}^{i+j+1}(G) \end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

Now using again [21, Theorem V.6.1] one has

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_{21}\tau_{\mathcal{G}}\langle \bar{g}, k, \bar{h}\rangle &= \nu_{21}\left((\alpha\theta_{\mathcal{G}}^2)^{-1}\left(k.\rho^{-1}\theta_{\mathcal{G}}^1(\bar{g})\right) \otimes \bar{h}\right) \\ &= \nu_{21}\Big((\theta_{\mathcal{G}}^2)^{-1}\alpha^{-1}\Big(k.\rho^{-1}\left((g-1) + I_{\mathcal{G}}^2(G)\right)\Big) \otimes \bar{h}\Big) \\ &= \nu_{21}\Big((\theta_{\mathcal{G}}^2)^{-1}\alpha^{-1}\Big(k(g-1) + I_{\mathcal{G}}^3(G)\Big) \otimes \bar{h}\Big) \\ &= \nu_{21}\Big((\theta_{\mathcal{G}}^2)^{-1}\Big((g^k - 1) - \binom{k}{2}(g-1)^2 + I_{\mathcal{G}}^3(G)\Big) \otimes \bar{h}\Big) \quad \text{by (31)} \\ &= \nu_{21}\Big(\Big((g^k G_{(3)}) - \binom{k}{2}(gG_{(2)})^2\Big) \otimes \bar{h}\Big) \\ &= (g^k G_{(3)}) \otimes \bar{h} - \binom{k}{2}(gG_{(2)})^2 \otimes \bar{h} \end{aligned}$$

as desired. □

If $(H, \mathcal{H}) = (G, \mathcal{G})$ we write $\delta_1^{\mathcal{G}} = \mu_3^{\mathcal{G}}\delta_1^{\mathcal{G}\mathcal{G}}$. This map completely determines the structure of $Q_3^{\mathcal{G}}(G)$, see [4].

Theorem 1.9 *The following natural sequence of homomorphisms is exact:*

$$\mathrm{Tor}_1^{\mathbb{Z}}(G^{AB}, G^{AB}) \xrightarrow{\delta_1^{\mathcal{G}}} \mathrm{U}_3\mathrm{L}^{\mathcal{G}}(G) \xrightarrow{\theta_3^{\mathcal{G}}} Q_3^{\mathcal{G}}(G) \longrightarrow 0\,.$$

For $H \neq G$, or $H = G$ but $\mathcal{H} \neq \mathcal{G}$, however, the structure of $\mathrm{Ker}\,(\theta_3^{\mathcal{G}\mathcal{H}})$ is more complicated, see [9] for the case $\mathcal{H} = \gamma$; in the special case of interest here it is described in the next section. The structure of the related groups $\mathrm{U}_n^{\mathcal{N}\gamma}(N, N)$ for $n = 2, 3$ is determined by the following result, cf. [9, Proposition 5.2]. Recall that here $N^{AB} = N/[N, G] = N/[N, N][N, T]$, and let $l_2^{\mathcal{N}\gamma} = (q_2^{\mathcal{N}} \otimes id) l_2^{\gamma} : N^{ab} \wedge N^{ab} \to N^{AB} \otimes N^{ab}$.

Proposition 1.10 *There are canonical isomorphisms*

$$\mathrm{U}_2^{\mathcal{N}\gamma}(N, N) \;\cong\; N^{AB} \otimes N^{ab} \Big/ l_2^{\mathcal{N}\gamma}\mathrm{Ker}\,(c_2^{\gamma})$$

$$\mathrm{U}_3^{\mathcal{N}\gamma}(N, N) \;\cong\; \mathrm{coker}\Big(\epsilon = \begin{pmatrix} c_2^{\mathcal{N}} \otimes id & 0 & 0 \\ -l_2^{\mathcal{N}} \otimes id & i^{\mathcal{N}\gamma\gamma} & i^{\gamma\gamma\gamma} \end{pmatrix}\Big)\,,$$

$$\begin{tikzcd} ((N^{AB} \wedge N^{AB}) \otimes N^{ab}) \quad \oplus \quad (N^{AB} \otimes l_2^{\gamma}\mathrm{Ker}(c_2^{\gamma})) \quad \oplus \quad l_{33}^{N}\mathrm{Ker}(c_{33}^{N}) \arrow[d, "\epsilon"] \\ (N_{(2)}/N_{(3)} \otimes N^{ab}) \quad \oplus \quad (N^{AB} \otimes N^{AB} \otimes N^{ab}) \end{tikzcd}$$

whose inverse maps are induced by multiplication in $\mathbb{Z}(G)$*. Here the homomorphisms* $\mathrm{L}_3^{\gamma}(N) \xleftarrow{c_{33}^{N}} (N^{ab})^{\otimes 3} \xrightarrow{l_{33}^{N}} (N^{ab})^{\otimes 3}$ *are defined such that for* $x, y, z \in N^{ab}$*,* $c_{33}^{N}(x \otimes y \otimes z)$ *is the triple Lie bracket* $[x, [y, z]]$ *in the Lie algebra* $\mathrm{L}^{\gamma}(N)$ *and* $l_{33}^{N}(x \otimes y \otimes z)$ *is the triple Lie bracket* $[x, [y, z]]$ *in the tensor algebra* $T(N^{ab})$*. Furthermore, we note* $i^{\mathcal{N}\gamma\gamma} = id \otimes q_2^{\mathcal{N}} \otimes id : N^{AB} \otimes N^{ab} \otimes N^{ab} \to N^{AB} \otimes N^{AB} \otimes N^{ab}$ *and* $i^{\gamma\gamma\gamma} = q_2^{\mathcal{N}} \otimes q_2^{\mathcal{N}} \otimes id : N^{ab} \otimes N^{ab} \otimes N^{ab} \to N^{AB} \otimes N^{AB} \otimes N^{ab}$.

Actually, the first isomorphism can be easily deduced from the fact that the exterior rectangle in diagram (19) is a pushout: for $\mathcal{H} = \gamma$, $c_2^{\mathcal{H}}$ is surjective, hence so is $\nu_{11}^{\mathcal{G}\mathcal{H}}$. Consequently, the map

$$\overline{\nu_{11}^{\mathcal{G}\gamma}} : \frac{G^{AB} \otimes H^{ab}}{(\iota^{AB} \otimes 1) l_2^{\gamma}\,\mathrm{Ker}\,(c_2^{\gamma})} \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathrm{U}_2^{\mathcal{G}\gamma}(G, H) \tag{33}$$

induced by $\nu_{11}^{\mathcal{G}\gamma}$ is an isomorphism.

Moreover, the group $\mathrm{U}_2^{\mathcal{N}\gamma}(N, N)$ can be embedded into a natural exact sequence, as follows. Consider the following part of a 6-term-exact sequence

$$\mathrm{Tor}_1^{\mathbb{Z}}(N^{AB}, N^{AB}) \xrightarrow{\tau} N^{AB} \otimes (N_{(2)}/N_2) \xrightarrow{id \otimes i} N^{AB} \otimes N^{ab} \xrightarrow{id \otimes q_2^{\mathcal{N}}} N^{AB} \otimes N^{AB} \to 0$$

and let the map $[\,,\,] : N^{AB} \otimes (N_{(2)}/N_2) \to N_2/[N_{(2)}\,, N_{(2)}]N_3$ be induced by the commutator pairing of N, so that $[\,,\,]\tau\langle n_1N_{(2)}, k, n_2N_{(2)}\rangle = [n_1, n_2^k]\,[N_{(2)}\,, N_{(2)}]N_3$. Furthermore, it follows from commutativity of the exterior rectangle in (19) (for $H = G = N$, $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{N}$ and $\mathcal{H} = \gamma$) that there is a homomorphism

$$\overline{l_2^\gamma} : N_2/[N_{(2)}\,, N_{(2)}]N_3 \to \mathrm{U}_2^{\mathcal{N}\gamma}(N, N)$$

such that for $n_1, n_2 \in N$ one has $\overline{l_2^\gamma}(\overline{[n_1, n_2]}) = \nu_{11}^{\mathcal{N},\gamma}\big((n_1N_{(2)})\otimes(n_2N_2) - (n_2N_{(2)})\otimes(n_1N_2)\big)$. Finally, for an abelian group A and $m \geq 1$ let $\mathrm{SP}^m(A) = A^{\otimes m}/\Sigma_m$ denote the symmetric m-fold tensor product, and let $\sigma_m = \sigma_m^A : A^{\otimes m} \twoheadrightarrow \mathrm{SP}^m(A)$ be the canonical projection.

Proposition 1.11 *The following sequence of natural homomorphisms is exact:*

$$\mathrm{Tor}_1^{\mathbb{Z}}(N^{AB}, N^{AB}) \xrightarrow{[\,,\,]\tau} N_2/[N_{(2)}\,, N_{(2)}]N_3 \xrightarrow{\overline{l_2^\gamma}} \mathrm{U}_2^{\mathcal{N}\gamma}(N, N) \xrightarrow{\overline{\sigma_2(1\otimes q_2^{\mathcal{N}})}} \mathrm{SP}^2(N^{AB}) \to 0\,. \tag{34}$$

Moreover, $\overline{\sigma_2(1\otimes q_2^{\mathcal{N}})}$ has a homomorphic splitting if $q_2^{\mathcal{N}}$ does and N^{AB} is either finitely generated or uniquely 2-divisible.

Note that in the case $\mathcal{N} = \gamma$ the assertion reduces to the well-known exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow N_{(2)}/N_{(3)} \longrightarrow \mathrm{U}_2\mathrm{L}^{\mathcal{N}}(N) \longrightarrow \mathrm{SP}^2(N^{AB}) \longrightarrow 0 \tag{35}$$

and its splitting property if N^{AB} is either finitely generated or uniquely 2-divisible, cf. [25]. For arbitary $\mathcal{N}$, the latter facts can in fact be deduced from the left-hand pushout square in (19), in the same way as we now deduce the proposition from the exterior pushout rectangle in (19).

Proof of Proposition 1.11 : Recall that the exterior rectangle in (19) is a pushout. This implies the identity $\mathrm{Ker}(u_2\nu_2) = c_2^\gamma\,\mathrm{Ker}((\iota^{AB}\otimes 1)l_2^\gamma)$ and an isomorphism $\mathrm{Coker}(u_2\nu_2) \cong \mathrm{Coker}((\iota^{AB}\otimes 1)l_2^\gamma)$. But here $\iota^{AB} = q_2^{\mathcal{N}}$, whence the exactness of sequence (34) in $N_2/[N_{(2)}\,, N_{(2)}]N_3$ follows from Lemma 2.7 in [7]. Moreover, by right-exactness of the tensor product, the kernel of the composite map $N^{AB}\otimes N^{ab} \overset{1\otimes q_2^{\mathcal{N}}}{\twoheadrightarrow} N^{AB}\otimes N^{AB} \overset{\sigma_2}{\twoheadrightarrow} \mathrm{SP}^2(N^{AB})$ equals $\mathrm{Im}((q_2^{\mathcal{N}}\otimes 1)l_2^\gamma)$, whence there is an isomorphism $\mathrm{Coker}((q_2^{\mathcal{N}}\otimes 1)l_2^\gamma) \cong \mathrm{SP}^2(N^{AB})$ induced by $\sigma_2(1\otimes q_2^{\mathcal{N}})$. This implies exactness of sequence (34) in $\mathrm{U}_2^{\mathcal{N}\gamma}(N, N)$. Finally, the splitting assertion follows from the well-known fact that σ_2^A splits if A is either finitely generated or uniquely 2-divisible: if s_1, s_2 are splittings of $q_2^{\mathcal{N}}$ and of $\sigma_2^{N^{AB}}$, resp., $\nu_{11}^{\mathcal{N}\gamma}(1\otimes s_1)s_2$ is a splitting of $\overline{\sigma_2(1\otimes q_2^{\mathcal{N}})}$. ☐

2 The first four Fox and augmentation quotients

Throughout this section G is supposed to be the semidirect product of a normal subgroup N with some subgroup T. The following groups are given a complete functorial description in terms of the Lie algebras $\mathrm{L}^{\mathcal{N}}(N)$ and $\mathrm{L}^{\gamma}(T)$, for all $G = N \rtimes T$:

- the quotients $Q_n(G)$, $Q_n(G,T)$ and $Q_n(G,N)$ for $n \leq 3$;
- the direct factors $\mathcal{K}_4/\mathcal{K}_5$ of $Q_4(G)$ and $Q_4(G,T)$ and the direct factor $\Gamma_3^* I(N)/\Gamma_4^* I(N)$ of $Q_4(G,N)$, see (8), (9) and (10).

The groups $Q_4^{\mathcal{G}}(G)$, and hence the direct factors $Q_4^{\mathcal{N}}(N)$ and $Q_4^{\gamma}(T)$ of $Q_4(G)$ and $Q_4(G,T)$, were determined for finite G by Tahara [32]; so the only term for $n = 4$ whose structure remains almost completely unknown is the direct factor $\Lambda_3 I(N)/\Lambda_4 I(N)$ of $Q_4(G,N)$ (it is only computed under very restrictive assumptions in Corollary 3.6 below).

The proofs of all results of this section are deferred to section 4.

The groups $Q_2(G,K)$ were determined by Tahara [33] for $K = G$ and by Karan and Vermani [12], [13] for $K = N, T$, after partial results of Khambadkone [17], [18]; we quote the results here (expressed in the language of enveloping rings) for completeness but also because they are easily reproved using our general approach, see section 4.

Theorem 2.1 *There are natural isomorphisms*

$$\begin{aligned} Q_2(G) &\cong \mathrm{U}_2\mathrm{L}^{\mathcal{N}}(N) \;\oplus\; \mathrm{U}_2\mathrm{L}(T) \;\oplus\; N^{AB} \otimes T^{ab} \\ Q_2(G,T) &\cong \mathrm{U}_2\mathrm{L}(T) \;\oplus\; N^{AB} \otimes T^{ab} \\ Q_2(G,N) &\cong \mathrm{U}_2^{\mathcal{N}\gamma}(N,N) \;\oplus\; T^{ab} \otimes N^{ab} \end{aligned}$$

Our description of $Q_n(G,H)$ for $n = 3,4$ below involves various torsion operators coming from connecting homomorphisms as in [21, Theorem V.6.1]. To keep notations simple we denote by $\tau_k^{\square}, \hat{\tau}_k^{\square}$, $k = 1,2$ and $\square$ some (or no) superscript, a connecting homomorphism induced by a short exact sequence of abelian groups in the k-th variable. In particular, for $p, q = 1,2$ we have maps

$$\frac{\mathrm{U}_{p+1}\mathrm{L}^{\mathcal{N}}(N)}{\mathrm{Im}(\delta_1^p)} \otimes \mathrm{U}_q\mathrm{L}^{\gamma}(T) \;\xleftarrow{\tau_1^{pq}}\; \mathrm{Tor}_1^{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathrm{U}_p\mathrm{L}^{\mathcal{N}}(N)\,,\mathrm{U}_q\mathrm{L}^{\gamma}(T)) \;\xrightarrow{\tau_2^{pq}}\; \mathrm{U}_p\mathrm{L}^{\mathcal{N}}(N) \otimes \frac{\mathrm{U}_{q+1}\mathrm{L}^{\gamma}(T)}{\mathrm{Im}(\delta_2^q)} \tag{36}$$

with $\delta_1^1, \delta_2^1 = 0$, $\delta_1^2 = \delta_1^{\mathcal{N}}$ and $\delta_2^2 = \delta_1^{\gamma}$, see (27), where

- τ_1^{1q} and τ_2^{p1} are induced by the short exact sequence (24) for $(G,\mathcal{G}) = (N,\mathcal{N})$ and (T,γ), resp.; explicitly, we have

$$\tau_1^{1q}\langle nN_{(2)}, k, x\rangle \;=\; \left((n^k N_{(3)}) - \binom{k}{2}(nN_{(2)})^2\right) \otimes x \tag{37}$$

$$\tau_2^{p1}\langle y, k, tT_2\rangle \;=\; y \otimes \left((t^k T_3) - \binom{k}{2}(tT_2)^2\right) \tag{38}$$

  for suitable n, t, x, y, k; cf. the calculation of $\tau_{\mathcal{G}}$ in the proof of Lemma 1.8.

- τ_1^{2q} and τ_2^{p2} are induced by the short exact sequence

$$0 \;\to\; \mathrm{Coker}(\delta_1^{\mathcal{G}}) \;\xrightarrow{\bar{\mu}_3^{\mathcal{G}}}\; I_{\mathcal{G}}^2(G)/I_{\mathcal{G}}^4(G) \;\xrightarrow{\rho_3^{\mathcal{G}}}\; \mathrm{U}_2\mathrm{L}^{\mathcal{G}}(G) \;\to\; 0 \tag{39}$$

  obtained from sequence (23) for $p=2$ combined with Theorems 1.9 and 1.5, for $(G,\mathcal{G}) = (N,\mathcal{N})$ and (T,γ), resp.

The maps τ_1^{2q} and τ_2^{p2}, occuring in Theorem 2.4 below, can be made explicit if N^{ab} and T^{ab} are finitely generated, by the following formula (and its mirror-symmetric version, permuting G and A, which gives the corresponding map τ_2).

Proposition 2.2 *Let G be a group with N-series $\mathcal{G}$ and A be an abelian group such that G^{AB} is finitely generated. Let $\mathrm{Tor}(G^{AB}) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^m \mathbb{Z}/e_i\mathbb{Z}\langle g_iG_{(2)}\rangle$, $g_i \in G$, be a cyclic decomposition of the torsion subgroup of G^{AB}, and let e_{ij} be the greatest common divisor of e_i and e_j, and $p_{ij}, q_{ij} \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $e_{ij} = e_ip_{ij} + e_jq_{ij}$. Furthermore, let $q_3\colon \mathrm{U}_3\mathrm{L}^{\mathcal{G}}(G) \twoheadrightarrow \mathrm{Coker}(\delta_1^{\mathcal{G}})$ be the quotient map. Then the connecting homomorphism*

$$\tau_1 : \mathrm{Tor}_1^{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathrm{U}_2\mathrm{L}^{\mathcal{G}}(G)\,, A) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Coker}(\delta_1^{\mathcal{G}}) \otimes A$$

induced by sequence (39) is given as follows. Let $\langle x,k,a\rangle$ be a canonical generator of $\mathrm{Tor}_1^{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathrm{U}_2\mathrm{L}^{\mathcal{G}}(G)\,, A)$. According to the split exact sequence (35), x can be uniquely written in the form $x = (gG_{(3)}) + \sum_{1\le i\le j\le m} l_{ij}(g_iG_{(i)}) \otimes (g_jG_{(j)})$ with $g \in G_{(2)}$, $l_{ij} \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $g^k \in G_{(3)}$ and e_{ij} divise kl_{ij} for all $1 \le i \le j \le m$. Then

$$\tau_1\langle x,k,a\rangle = \tilde{x} \otimes a$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{x} \;=\; q_3\Bigg(&\Bigg((g^kG_{(4)}) + \sum_{1\le i\le j\le m} \frac{kl_{ij}p_{ij}}{e_{ij}} [g_i^{e_i}G_{(3)}, g_jG_{(2)}] \Bigg) \\
&\oplus \sum_{1\le i\le j\le m} \left(\frac{kl_{ij}p_{ij}}{e_{ij}} (g_jG_{(2)})(g_i^{e_i}G_{(3)}) + \frac{kl_{ij}q_{ij}}{e_{ij}} (g_iG_{(2)})(g_j^{e_j}G_{(3)}) \right) \\
&\ominus \sum_{1\le i\le j\le m} \frac{kl_{ij}}{e_{ij}} \left(p_{ij}\binom{e_i}{2}(g_iG_{(2)})^2(g_jG_{(2)}) + q_{ij}\binom{e_j}{2}(g_iG_{(2)})(g_jG_{(2)})^2 \right) \Bigg)
\end{aligned}$$

Here the symbols $\oplus, \ominus$ mean $+, -$, resp., but also indicate that the three summands they link together lie in the three different direct components of the decomposition

$$\mathrm{U}_3\mathrm{L}^{\mathcal{G}}(G) \;\;\cong\;\; G_{(3)}/G_{(4)} \;\oplus\; (G_{(1)}/G_{(2)}) \otimes (G_{(2)}/G_{(3)}) \;\oplus\; \mathrm{SP}^3(G_{(1)}/G_{(2)})$$

We omit the proof since it consists of a calculation which is straightforward along the same lines as the computation of $\delta_1^{\mathcal{G}\mathcal{H}}$ in the proof of Lemma 1.8 and of ξ_3 in the proof of Theorem 2.4 in section 4.

Moreover, we throughout identify $\mathrm{U}_1\mathrm{L}^{\mathcal{K}}(K)$ with K^{AB} via the isomorphism $\theta_1^{\mathcal{K}}$, see Theorem 1.5, for $(K,\mathcal{K}) = (N,\mathcal{N})$ or (T,γ). Moreover, we identify $\bar{\mathrm{U}}_2^{\mathcal{N}\gamma}(N,N)$ with $\frac{N^{AB}\otimes N^{ab}}{(q_2^{\mathcal{N}}\otimes 1)l_2^{\gamma}\operatorname{Ker}(c_2^{\gamma})}$ via the isomorphism $\overline{\nu_{11}^{\mathcal{N}\gamma}}$ in (33).

Also recall that the structure of the group $\bar{\mathrm{U}}_3^{\mathcal{N}\gamma}(N,N) = \mathrm{U}_3^{\mathcal{N}\gamma}(N,N)/\mathcal{R}_3^{N\gamma}$ is explicitly given by Proposition 1.10 and the generators of $\mathcal{R}_3^{N\gamma}$ described in (22), but we will merely use the original definition of $\mathrm{U}_3^{\mathcal{N}\gamma}(N,N)$ in (12).

Now we are ready to describe the structure of the groups $Q_3(G,H)$ for $H = G, N, T$.

Theorem 2.3 *The terms on the right hand side of the decompositions*

$$Q_3(G) \;=\; Q_3^{\mathcal{N}}(N) \;\oplus\; Q_3^{\gamma}(T) \;\oplus\; \mathcal{K}_3/\mathcal{K}_4$$

$$Q_3(G,T) \;=\; Q_3^{\gamma}(T) \;\oplus\; \mathcal{K}_3/\mathcal{K}_4$$

$$Q_3(G,N) \;=\; \Lambda_2 I(N)/\Lambda_3 I(N) \;\oplus\; \Gamma_2^* I(N)/\Gamma_3^* I(N)$$

are determined by Theorem 1.9 and the following natural exact sequences.

$$\mathrm{Tor}_1^{\mathbb{Z}}(N^{AB},T^{ab}) \xrightarrow{\delta_2} \mathrm{U}_2\mathrm{L}^{\mathcal{N}}(N)\otimes T^{ab} \;\oplus\; N^{AB}\otimes \mathrm{U}_2\mathrm{L}^{\gamma}(T) \xrightarrow{\mu_2} \mathcal{K}_3/\mathcal{K}_4 \to 0$$

$$\mathrm{Tor}_1^{\mathbb{Z}}(T^{ab},N^{ab}) \xrightarrow{\delta_3} T^{ab}\otimes \bar{\mathrm{U}}_2^{\mathcal{N}\gamma}(N,N) \;\oplus\; \mathrm{U}_2\mathrm{L}^{\gamma}(T)\otimes N^{ab} \xrightarrow{\mu_3} \Gamma_2^* I(N)/\Gamma_3^* I(N) \to 0$$

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{Tor}_1^{\mathbb{Z}}(N^{AB},N^{ab}) \;\oplus\; \operatorname{Ker}\Big([\,,\,]\tau :\, \mathrm{Tor}_1^{\mathbb{Z}}(N^{AB},N^{AB}) \to N_2/[N_{(2)}\,,N_{(2)}]N_3\Big) \arrow[d, "(\delta_4{,}\delta_5)"] \\
\bar{\mathrm{U}}_3^{\mathcal{N}\gamma}(N,N) \arrow[d, two heads, "\bar{\theta}_3^{\mathcal{N}\gamma}"] \\
\Lambda_2 I(N)/\Lambda_3 I(N)
\end{tikzcd}$$

Here the homomorphisms μ_2,μ_3 are induced by $\theta_2^{\mathcal{N}},\theta_2^{\gamma},\theta_2^{\mathcal{N}\gamma}$ followed by multiplication in $\mathbb{Z}(G)$, $\delta_2 = (-\tau_1^{11},\tau_2^{11})^t$, δ_3, δ_4 are homomorphisms and δ_5 is an additive relation of undeterminacy $\operatorname{Im}(\delta_4)$, defined as follows. Using the identifications in Proposition 1.10 one has for suitable $n\in N$ and $t\in T$, see (28):

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta_2\langle nN_{(2)}\,,k,tT_2\rangle \;=\; & \Big(-(n^k N_{(3)})\otimes(tT_2) + \binom{k}{2}(nN_{(2)})^2\otimes(tT_2)\;, \\
& (nN_{(2)})\otimes(t^kT_3) - \binom{k}{2}(nN_{(2)})\otimes(tT_2)^2\Big)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\delta_3\langle tT_2\,, k, nN_2\rangle = \Big((tT_2)\otimes \nu_{11}^{\mathcal{N}\gamma}\Big(\sum_{i=1}^{p}\Big((n_iN_{(2)})\otimes(n_i'N_2)-(n_i'N_{(2)})\otimes(n_iN_2)\Big)\\ -\binom{k}{2}(nN_{(2)})\otimes(nN_2)\Big)\;,\;\Big(\binom{k}{2}(tT_2)^2-(t^kT_3)\Big)\otimes(nN_2)\Big)\end{aligned}$$

where $p\geq 1$ *and* $n_i, n_i'\in N$ *such that* $n^k=\prod_{i=1}^{p}[n_i,n_i']$. *Furthermore, for suitable* $a,b\in N$ *and denoting by* $\pi\colon \mathrm{U}_3^{\mathcal{N}\gamma}(N,N)\twoheadrightarrow \bar{\mathrm{U}}_3^{\mathcal{N}\gamma}(N,N)$ *the quotient map,*

$$\begin{aligned}\delta_4\langle aN_{(2)}\,, k, bN_2\rangle &= \pi\Big((aN_{(2)})\otimes(b^kN_3)-(a^kN_{(3)})\otimes(bN_2)\\ &\quad +\binom{k}{2}\Big((aN_{(2)})^2\otimes(bN_2)-(aN_{(2)})\otimes(bN_2)^2\Big)\Big)\end{aligned}$$

Finally, for $\sum_{r=1}^{s}\langle a_rN_{(2)}\,, k_r, b_rN_{(2)}\rangle\in \mathrm{Tor}_1^{\mathbb{Z}}(N^{AB},N^{AB})$ *such that* $\prod_{r=1}^{s}[a_r,b_r^{k_r}]=e\prod_{q=1}^{p}[c_q,d_q]$ *with* $c_q,d_q\in[N,G]$ *and* $e\in N_3$,

$$\begin{aligned}\delta_5\Big(\sum_{r=1}^{s}\langle a_rN_{(2)}\,, k_r, b_rN_{(2)}\rangle\Big) &= \sum_{r=1}^{s}(a_r^{k_r}N_{(3)})\otimes(b_rN_2)-(b_r^{k_r}N_{(3)})\otimes(a_rN_2)\\ &- \sum_{r=1}^{s}\binom{k_r}{2}\Big((a_rN_{(2)})\Big((a_rN_{(2)})-(b_rN_{(2)})\Big)\otimes(b_rN_2)\Big)\\ &- \sum_{q=1}^{p}(c_qN_{(3)})\otimes(d_qN_2)-(d_qN_{(3)})\otimes(c_qN_2)\\ &- 1\otimes(eN_4)+\mathrm{Im}(\delta_4)\,.\end{aligned}$$

This result generalizes and extends the computation of $Q_3(G)$ for finite G in [33] and of $Q_3(G,T)$ and $\Gamma_2^*I(N)/\Gamma_3^*I(N)$ for finite G and nilpotent T in [19], [16]. It seems, however, that the group $\Lambda_2I(N)/\Lambda_3I(N)$ has not been determined before, not even in special cases.

We now turn to the case $n=4$ where, apart from the direct factors $Q_4^{\mathcal{N}}(N)$ and $Q_4^{\gamma}(T)$, nothing seems to be known unless N and T satisfy certain torsion-freeness conditions, see section 3.

Theorem 2.4 *The direct factor* $\mathcal{K}_4/\mathcal{K}_5$ *of* $Q_4(G)$ *and* $Q_4(G,T)$ *(see (8) and (9)) is determined by the following tower of successive natural quotients where* $\mathrm{Ker}(\pi_k)=\mathrm{Im}(\xi_k)$, $k=1,2,3$, *and*

$$\xi_1=\begin{pmatrix}\delta_1^{\mathcal{N}}\otimes 1 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 1\otimes\delta_1^{\gamma}\end{pmatrix}^t,\quad \xi_2=\begin{pmatrix}-\tau_1^{21} & \tau_2^{21} & 0\\ 0 & -\tau_1^{12} & \tau_2^{12}\end{pmatrix}^t,$$

cf. the explicit description of these maps in (37), (38) and Proposition 2.2.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\left.\begin{matrix} \mathrm{Tor}_1^{\mathbb{Z}}(N^{AB}, N^{AB}) \otimes T^{ab} \\ \oplus\, N^{AB} \otimes \mathrm{Tor}_1^{\mathbb{Z}}(T^{ab}\,, T^{ab}) \end{matrix}\right\} \arrow[r, "\xi_1"] & \mathrm{U}_3\mathrm{L}^{\mathcal{N}}(N) \otimes T^{ab} \;\oplus\; \mathrm{U}_2\mathrm{L}^{\mathcal{N}}(N) \otimes \mathrm{U}_2\mathrm{L}^{\gamma}(T) \;\oplus\; N^{AB} \otimes \mathrm{U}_3\mathrm{L}^{\gamma}(T) \arrow[d, two heads, "\pi_1"] \\
\left.\begin{matrix} \mathrm{Tor}_1^{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathrm{U}_2\mathrm{L}^{\mathcal{N}}(N)\,, T^{ab}) \\ \oplus\, \mathrm{Tor}_1^{\mathbb{Z}}(N^{AB}, \mathrm{U}_2\mathrm{L}^{\gamma}(T)) \end{matrix}\right\} \arrow[r, "\xi_2"] & \mathrm{Coker}(\delta_1^{\mathcal{N}}) \otimes T^{ab} \;\oplus\; \mathrm{U}_2\mathrm{L}^{\mathcal{N}}(N) \otimes \mathrm{U}_2\mathrm{L}^{\gamma}(T) \;\oplus\; N^{AB} \otimes \mathrm{Coker}(\delta_1^{\gamma}) \arrow[d, two heads, "\pi_2"] \\
\mathrm{Ker}(\delta_2) \arrow[r, "\xi_3"] & \mathrm{Coker}(\xi_2) \arrow[d, two heads, "\pi_3"] \\
 & \mathcal{K}_4/\mathcal{K}_5
\end{tikzcd}$$

To describe ξ_3 *we here suppose that* N *and* T *are finitely generated with cyclic decompositions of the torsion subgroups* $\mathrm{Tor}\,(N^{AB}) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{r} \mathbb{Z}/a_i\mathbb{Z}\langle n_i N_{(2)}\rangle$ *and* $\mathrm{Tor}\,(T^{ab}) = \bigoplus_{j=1}^{s} \mathbb{Z}/b_j\mathbb{Z}\langle t_j T_2\rangle$. *Let* d_{ij} *be the greatest common divisor of* a_i *and* b_j, *and let* $p_{ij}, q_{ij} \in \mathbb{Z}$ *such that* $d_{ij} = a_i p_{ij} + b_j q_{ij}$. *Then an element* $\omega = \sum_{i,j} \langle n_i N_{(2)}, k_{ij}, t_j T_2\rangle \in \mathrm{Tor}_1^{\mathbb{Z}}(N^{AB}\,, T^{ab})$ *lies in* $\mathrm{Ker}\,(\delta_2)$ *if and only if the following three conditions (i) - (iii) are satisfied:*

(i) $\forall 1 \le i \le r\,,\ \forall 1 \le j \le s\,,\qquad \frac{k_{ij}}{d_{ij}}$ *is even if* k_{ij} *is even;*

(ii) $\forall 1 \le i \le r\,,\qquad \prod_{j=1}^{s} t_j^{k_{ij}} = u_i^{a_i} v_i$ *with* $u_i \in T_2$ *and* $v_i \in T_3$*;*

(iii) $\forall 1 \le j \le s\,,\qquad \prod_{i=1}^{r} n_i^{k_{ij}} = y_j^{b_j} z_j$ *with* $y_j \in N_{(2)}$ *and* $z_j \in N_{(3)}$.

In this case,

$$\begin{aligned}
\xi_3(\omega) &= \pi_2\pi_1 \Bigg(-\sum_{j=1}^{s} \Bigg((z_j N_{(4)}) - \sum_{i=1}^{r} \Bigg(\frac{p_{ij}}{d_{ij}} \binom{k_{ij}}{2} (n_i^{a_i} N_{(3)})(n_i N_{(2)}) \\
&+ \quad \left(\left(\binom{k_{ij}}{3} - \frac{p_{ij}}{d_{ij}} \binom{k_{ij}}{2} \binom{a_i}{2} \right) (n_i N_{(2)})^3 \right) \Bigg) \Bigg) \otimes (t_j T_2) \, , \\
&\quad \sum_{i=1}^{r} \left((n_i^{a_i} N_{(3)}) - \binom{a_i}{2} (n_i N_{(2)})^2 \right) \otimes \left((u_i T_3) - \sum_{j=1}^{s} \frac{p_{ij}}{d_{ij}} \binom{k_{ij}}{2} (t_j T_2)^2 \right) \\
&- \quad \sum_{j=1}^{s} \left((y_j N_{(3)}) - \sum_{i=1}^{r} \frac{q_{ij}}{d_{ij}} \binom{k_{ij}}{2} (n_i N_{(2)})^2 \right) \otimes \left((t_j^{b_j} T_3) - \binom{b_j}{2} (t_j T_2)^2 \right) , \\
&\quad \sum_{i=1}^{r} (n_i N_{(2)}) \otimes \Bigg((v_i T_4) - \sum_{j=1}^{s} \Bigg(\frac{q_{ij}}{d_{ij}} \binom{k_{ij}}{2} (t_j^{b_j} T_3)(t_j T_2) \\
&+ \quad \left(\left(\binom{k_{ij}}{3} - \frac{q_{ij}}{d_{ij}} \binom{k_{ij}}{2} \binom{b_j}{2} \right) (t_j T_2)^3 \right) \Bigg) \Bigg) \Bigg)
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, the direct factor $\Gamma_3^* I(N)/\Gamma_4^* I(N)$ of $Q_4(G,N)$ can be computed by combining Theorems 4.5, 1.5, 1.9 and Remark 4.2, but the resulting description is considerably more complicated than the one of $\mathcal{K}_4/\mathcal{K}_5$ above, so we leave it to the interested reader to write it out.

In principle, one can use the key Proposition 4.3 to go on and determine $\mathcal{K}_n/\mathcal{K}_{n+1}$ and $\Gamma_{n-1}^* I(N)/\Gamma_n^* I(N)$ for $n \geq 5$, in terms of iterated amalgamations of tensor products of the augmentation quotients of N and T along certain torsion groups, but the results getting more and more complicated we do not attempt to make this explicit. When all these torsion terms vanish, however, the amalgamations degenerate to neat direct sum decompositions; this is described in the next section.

3 Fox and augmentation quotients under torsion-freeness assumptions

Supposing one or more among the groups N^{ab}, N^{AB} and T^{ab} torsion-free the groups $Q_n(G,H)$ for $H = G, N, T$ and $n \leq 4$ were determined by Karan and Vermani, see the precise citations below. We here generalize their results to all $n \geq 1$, and improve them by expressing most of the involved groups in terms of enveloping algebras. All proofs are deferred to section 5.

We formally put $T_0 = T$ and $N_{(0)} = N$.

Theorem 3.1 *Let $n \geq 2$. Suppose that there exists k, $0 \leq k \leq n-2$, such that T_s/T_{s+1} is torsion-free for $0 \leq s \leq k$ and that $N_{(t)}/N_{(t+1)}$ is torsion-free for $0 \leq t \leq n-k-2$. Then there are natural isomorphisms*

$$Q_n(G) \;\cong\; Q_n^{\mathcal{N}}(N) \;\oplus\; Q_n(T) \;\oplus\; \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n-1} Q_i^{\mathcal{N}}(N) \otimes Q_{n-i}(T)$$

$$Q_n(G,T) \;\cong\; Q_n(T) \;\oplus\; \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n-1} Q_i^{\mathcal{N}}(N) \otimes Q_{n-i}(T)$$

This implies the results in [13], [15] for $n=3$ and in [14], [34] for $n=4$ (which correspond to the case $k=1$).

Corollary 3.2 *Suppose that T_s/T_{s+1} and $N_{(s)}/N_{(s+1)}$ are torsion-free for $1 \leq s \leq n$. Then there are natural isomorphisms*

$$Q_n(G) \;\cong\; \bigoplus_{i=0}^{n} \mathrm{U}_i\mathrm{L}^{\mathcal{N}}(N) \otimes \mathrm{U}_{n-i}\mathrm{L}^{\gamma}(T)\,.$$

$$Q_n(G,T) \;\cong\; \bigoplus_{i=0}^{n-1} \mathrm{U}_i\mathrm{L}^{\mathcal{N}}(N) \otimes \mathrm{U}_{n-i}\mathrm{L}^{\gamma}(T)\,,$$

Using the Poincaré-Birkhoff-Witt theorem one deduces from 3.2 the following result which generalizes a theorem of Sandling and Tahara [30] on the augmentation quotients of an arbitrary group (which can be recovered here by taking $N = \{1\}$). Recall that by convention $\mathrm{SP}^0(X) = \mathbb{Z}$.

Corollary 3.3 *If for $1 \leq s \leq n$ the abelian groups T_s/T_{s+1} and $N_{(s)}/N_{(s+1)}$ are free (in particular if they are torsion-free and N and T are finitely generated) then*

$$Q_n(G) \cong \bigoplus_{\mathcal{I}_1} \bigotimes_{p=1}^{n} \mathrm{SP}^{r_p}(N_{(p)}/N_{(p+1)}) \otimes \bigotimes_{q=1}^{n} \mathrm{SP}^{s_q}(T_q/T_{q+1})\,,$$

$$Q_n(G,T) \cong \bigoplus_{\mathcal{I}_2} \bigotimes_{p=1}^{n-1} \mathrm{SP}^{r_p}(N_{(p)}/N_{(p+1)}) \otimes \bigotimes_{q=1}^{n} \mathrm{SP}^{s_q}(T_q/T_{q+1})\,,$$

where the index sets $\mathcal{I}_1$ and $\mathcal{I}_2$ are given by

$$\mathcal{I}_1 = \left\{ (r_1,\ldots,r_n,s_1,\ldots,s_n) \,\middle|\, 0 \leq r_1,\ldots,r_n\,,s_1,\ldots,s_n \leq n \;\; \textit{and} \;\; \sum_{p=1}^{n} r_p p + \sum_{q=1}^{n} s_q q = n \right\}$$

$$\mathcal{I}_2 = \Bigg\{ (r_1, \ldots, r_{n-1}, s_1, \ldots, s_n) \,\Bigg|\, 0 \le r_1, \ldots, r_{n-1} \le n-1,\ \ 0 \le s_1, \ldots, s_n \le n\,, \\ \sum_{q=1}^{n} s_q q \ge 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{p=1}^{n-1} r_p p + \sum_{q=1}^{n} s_q q = n \Bigg\}\,.$$

As to the quotients $Q_n(G,N)$ we have the following results.

Theorem 3.4 *Suppose that* $\mathrm{Tor}_1^{\mathbb{Z}}\big(I^i(T)/I^{i+1}(T)\,,\, I(N)/\Lambda_{n-i-1}I(N)\big) = 0$ *for* $1 \le i \le n-2$*. Then there is a natural isomorphism*

$$Q_n(G,N) \;\cong\; \frac{\Lambda_{n-1}I(N)}{\Lambda_n I(N)} \;\oplus\; \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n-1} \; Q_i(T) \otimes \frac{\Lambda_{n-i-1}I(N)}{\Lambda_{n-i}I(N)}\,.$$

For $n = 3$ this reproduces the main result in [13], [15]; for $n = 4$ it implies the main result in [14], [34] since torsion-freeness of $T^{ab} \cong I(T)/I^2(T)$ and $N^{ab} \cong I(N)/\Lambda_1 I(N)$ imply triviality of our torsion group for $i = 1, 2$, resp.

Corollary 3.5 *If* T_s/T_{s+1} *is torsion-free for* $1 \le s \le n$ *then there is a natural isomorphism*

$$Q_n(G,N) \;\cong\; \bigoplus_{i=0}^{n-1} \; \mathrm{U}_i\mathrm{L}^{\gamma}(T) \otimes \frac{\Lambda_{n-i-1}I(N)}{\Lambda_{n-i}I(N)}\,.$$

Now using [9, Proposition 2.1] we get

Corollary 3.6 *Suppose that* N *is a free group and that* T_s/T_{s+1} *and* $N_{(s)}/N_{(s+1)}$ *are torsion-free for* $1 \le s \le n$*. Then there is a non-natural isomorphism*

$$Q_n(G,N) \;\cong\; \bigoplus_{i=0}^{n-1} \; \mathrm{U}_i\mathrm{L}^{\gamma}(T) \otimes \mathrm{U}_{n-i-1}\mathrm{L}^{\mathcal{N}}(N) \otimes N^{ab}\,.$$

4 Proofs for section 2

The starting point of our approach is the following elementary fact.

Lemma 4.1 *Let* G *be a group,* H *and* K *two subgroups of* G *such that* $H \cap K = \{1\}$*, and let* J *be a left ideal of* $\mathbb{Z}(H)$ *contained in* $I(H)$*. Then one has a short exact sequence*

$$I(K)I(H)J \hookrightarrow I(K)J \xrightarrow{s} I(K) \otimes J/I(H)J \to 0$$

where $s((k-1)x) = (k-1) \otimes (x + I(H)J)$*,* $k \in K$*,* $x \in J$*.*

Proof: When the symbols k resp. h run through the nontrivial elements of K resp. H the elements kh are distinct, and also distinct from the elements of H and K. Thus the map $\mu: \, I(K) \otimes I(H) \longrightarrow I(K)I(H)$ given by multiplication in $\mathbb{Z}(G)$ is an isomorphism since it sends the canonical basis $((k-1) \otimes (h-1))$ of $I(K) \otimes I(H)$ to linearly independant elements in $\mathbb{Z}(G)$. Consider the following commutative square with $j: \, J \hookrightarrow I(H)$:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
I(K)J \arrow[r, hook] & I(K)I(H) \\
I(K) \otimes J \arrow[u, two heads, "\mu'"'] \arrow[r, "1\otimes j"] & I(K) \otimes I(H) \arrow[u, "\mu"', "\cong"]
\end{tikzcd}$$

The map $1 \otimes j$ is injective as $I(K)$ is a free $\mathbb{Z}$-module, hence μ' is an isomorphism, too. So we have the following commutative diagram with exact rows where μ'' is given by restriction of μ', $\bar{\mu}'$ is induced by μ', $j': \, I(H)J \hookrightarrow J$ is the injection and q the corresponding quotient map.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
I(K)I(H)J \arrow[r, hook] & I(K)J \arrow[r] & I(K)J/I(K)I(H)J \arrow[r] & 0 \\
I(K) \otimes I(H)J \arrow[u, two heads, "\mu''"'] \arrow[r, "1\otimes j'"] & I(K) \otimes J \arrow[u, "\mu'"', "\cong"] \arrow[r, "1\otimes q"] & I(K) \otimes J/I(H)J \arrow[u, "\bar{\mu}'"'] \arrow[r] & 0
\end{tikzcd}$$

This shows that $\bar{\mu}'$ is an isomorphism which implies the assertion. □

In the sequel, we consider an *arbitrary* descending filtration $\Delta: \, I(N) = \Delta_1 \supset \Delta_2 \supset \cdots$ of $I(N)$ by subgroups Δ_i; later on, we shall specialize to the cases $\Delta = \Lambda$ or $\Delta = I(N)\Lambda$ where $(I(N)\Lambda)_i = I(N)\Lambda_{i-1}$. Let

$$\mathcal{K}_n^{\Delta} = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \Delta_{n-i} \, I^i(T) \, .$$

Then $\mathcal{K}_n^{\Lambda} = \mathcal{K}_n$ while

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{K}_n^{I(N)\Lambda} &= \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} I(N) \, \Lambda_{n-i-1} \, I^i(T) \\
&= I(N) \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \Lambda_{n-1-i} \, I^i(T) \\
&= I(N) \, \mathcal{K}_{n-1}^{*} \, . \qquad (40)
\end{aligned}$$

Thus computing filtration quotients $\mathcal{K}_n^{\Delta}/\mathcal{K}_{n+1}^{\Delta}$ amounts to computing the direct factors $\mathcal{K}_n/\mathcal{K}_{n+1}$ and $I(N)\mathcal{K}_{n-1}^{*}/I(N)\mathcal{K}_n^{*}$ of the abelian groups $Q_n(G)$, $Q_n(G,T)$, and of $I(N)I^{n-1}(G)/I(N)I^n(G)$ instead of $Q_n(G,N)$, see (8), (9) and (6). But the latter default is easily corrected by using the following device.

Remark 4.2 By Remark 1.2 we obtain a commutatif diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\frac{I(N)I^{n-1}(G)}{I(N)I^n(G)} \arrow[r, "(-)^\star"] \arrow[d, equal] & \frac{I^{n-1}(G)I(N)}{I^n(G)I(N)} \arrow[d, equal] \\
\frac{I(N)\Lambda_{n-1}}{I(N)\Lambda_n} \oplus \frac{\mathcal{K}_n^{I(N)\Lambda}}{\mathcal{K}_{n+1}^{I(N)\Lambda}} \arrow[r, "\cong", "(-)^\star\oplus(-)^\star"'] & \frac{\Lambda_{n-1}I(N)}{\Lambda_n I(N)} \oplus \frac{\Gamma^*_{n-1}I(N)}{\Gamma^*_n I(N)}
\end{tikzcd}$$

Our computation of the quotients $\mathcal{K}_n^\Delta/\mathcal{K}_{n+1}^\Delta$ below provides a functorial computation of $\mathcal{K}_n^{I(N)\Lambda}/\mathcal{K}_{n+1}^{I(N)\Lambda}$ in terms of induced and connecting maps between certain tensor and torsion products, namely between quotients of the filtrations $(I^i(N)\Lambda)_{i\geq 0}$ and $(I^j(T))_{j\geq 1}$ of $I(N)$ and $I(T)$, in this order. But it is easily checked that applying the symmetry isomorphisms of the tensor and torsion product, as well as the conjugation isomorphisms $(I(N)\Lambda)_i \cong (\Lambda I(N))_i$, to our description is compatible with the conjugation isomorphism $\mathcal{K}_n^{I(N)\Lambda}/\mathcal{K}_{n+1}^{I(N)\Lambda} \cong \Gamma^*_{n-1}I(N)/\Gamma^*_n I(N)$. Thus taking the "mirror-symmetric" version of our description of the former quotients provides a description of the latter. So it finally suffices to determine the quotients Λ_n/Λ_{n+1}, $I^n(T)/I^{n+1}(T)$, $\Lambda_{n-1}I(N)/\Lambda_n I(N)$, and $\mathcal{K}_n^\Delta/\mathcal{K}_{n+1}^\Delta$ in order to determine $I^{n-1}(G)I(K)/I^n(G)I(K)$ for $K = G, N$ and T.

Let $1 \leq i \leq n-1$, $1 \leq j \leq n-i$ and $i+1 \leq m \leq \infty$. Putting $I^\infty(T) = 0$ we have connecting homomorphisms $\tau_1 = \tau_1^{n,i,j}$ and $\tau_2 = \tau_2^m = \tau_1^{n,i,j,m}$

$$\frac{\Delta_{n-i}}{\Delta_{n-i+1}} \otimes \frac{I^i(T)}{I^{i+1}(T)} \xleftarrow{\tau_1} \mathrm{Tor}_1^{\mathbb{Z}}\Big(\frac{\Delta_j}{\Delta_{n-i}}, \frac{I^i(T)}{I^{i+1}(T)}\Big) \xrightarrow{\tau_2^m} \frac{\Delta_j}{\Delta_{n-i}} \otimes \frac{I^{i+1}(T)}{I^m(T)}$$

obtained from the short exact sequences

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \Delta_{n-i}/\Delta_{n-i+1} & \hookrightarrow & \Delta_j/\Delta_{n-i+1} & \to & \Delta_j/\Delta_{n-i} & \to 0 \\ I^{i+1}(T)/I^m(T) & \hookrightarrow & I^i(T)/I^m(T) & \to & I^i(T)/I^{i+1}(T) & \to 0 \end{array} \tag{41}$$

Recall that for a canonical generator $\langle\bar{x}, k, \bar{y}\rangle$ of $\mathrm{Tor}_1^{\mathbb{Z}}(\Delta_j/\Delta_{n-i}, I^i(T)/I^{i+1}(T))$, i.e. $x \in \Delta_j$, $y \in I^i(T)$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $kx \in \Delta_{n-i}$ and $ky \in I^{i+1}(T)$, one has

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \tau_1\langle\bar{x}, k, \bar{y}\rangle & = & \overline{kx} \otimes \bar{y} \\ \tau_2\langle\bar{x}, k, \bar{y}\rangle & = & \bar{x} \otimes \overline{ky}\,. \end{array} \tag{42}$$

Proposition 4.3 *For $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ there is an exact sequence*

$$\mathrm{Tor}_1^{\mathbb{Z}}\Big(\frac{\Delta_1}{\Delta_{n-i}}\,,\,\frac{I^i(T)}{I^{i+1}(T)}\Big) \overset{(-\tau_1,\nu_i\tau_2^n)^t}{\longrightarrow} \left(\frac{\Delta_{n-i}}{\Delta_{n-i+1}} \otimes \frac{I^i(T)}{I^{i+1}(T)}\right) \oplus \frac{\Delta_1 I^{i+1}(T)}{\sum\limits_{k=i+1}^{n} \Delta_{n-k+1}\, I^k(T)}$$

$$\overset{(\mu_i,\iota_i)}{\longrightarrow} \frac{\Delta_1 I^i(T)}{\sum\limits_{k=i}^{n} \Delta_{n-k+1}\, I^k(T)} \xrightarrow{s_i} \frac{\Delta_1}{\Delta_{n-i}} \otimes \frac{I^i(T)}{I^{i+1}(T)} \longrightarrow 0 \tag{43}$$

where ι_i is induced by the injection $\Delta_1 I^{i+1}(T) \hookrightarrow \Delta_1 I^i(T)$, $s_i(\overline{xy}) = \bar{x} \otimes \bar{y}$ for $(x,y) \in \Delta_1 \times I^i(T)$, ν_i is given by

$$\nu_i : \frac{\Delta_1}{\Delta_{n-i}} \otimes \frac{I^{i+1}(T)}{I^n(T)} \cong \frac{\Delta_1 \otimes I^{i+1}(T)}{\mathrm{Im}(\Delta_{n-i} \otimes I^{i+1}(T) + \Delta_1 \otimes I^n(T))} \xrightarrow{\tilde{\nu}_i} \frac{\Delta_1 I^{i+1}(T)}{\sum\limits_{k=i+1}^{n} \Delta_{n-k+1}\, I^k(T)}$$

with $\tilde{\nu}_i$ being induced by multiplication in $\mathbb{Z}(G)$, and μ_i is defined in a similar way.

Proof: Consider the following diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{Tor}_1^{\mathbb{Z}}\Big(\frac{\Delta_1}{\Delta_{n-i}}\,,\,\frac{I^i(T)}{I^{i+1}(T)}\Big) \arrow[r, tail, "\tilde{\tau}_1"] \arrow[d, "\nu_i\tau_2^n"] & \Delta_{n-i} \otimes \left(\frac{I^i(T)}{I^{i+1}(T)}\right) \arrow[r, "\alpha\otimes id"] \arrow[d, "\tilde{\mu}_i"] & \Delta_1 \otimes \left(\frac{I^i(T)}{I^{i+1}(T)}\right) \arrow[d, equal] \\
\frac{\Delta_1 I^{i+1}(T)}{\sum\limits_{k=i+1}^{n} \Delta_{n-k+1}\, I^k(T)} \arrow[r, tail, "\tilde{\iota}_i"] & \frac{\Delta_1 I^{i}(T)}{\sum\limits_{k=i+1}^{n} \Delta_{n-k+1}\, I^k(T)} \arrow[r, two heads, "s"] & \Delta_1 \otimes \left(\frac{I^i(T)}{I^{i+1}(T)}\right)
\end{tikzcd}$$

The top row is part of a six-term exact sequence associated with the short exact sequence $\Delta_{n-i} \overset{\alpha}{\hookrightarrow} \Delta_1 \twoheadrightarrow \Delta_1/\Delta_{n-i}$, and hence is exact; note that $\tilde{\tau}_1$ is injective since Δ_1 is a free $\mathbb{Z}$-module. The maps $\tilde{\mu}_i, \tilde{\iota}_i$ are given by multiplication and inclusion, repectively. The bottom row is induced by the exact sequence in Lemma 4.1 for $(K,H,J) = (N,T,I^i(T))$ and hence is also exact. Moreover, the diagram commutes; to see this for the left-hand square use (42). Now an easy diagram chase together with right-exactness of the tensor product shows that the sequence

$$0 \to \mathrm{Tor}_1^{\mathbb{Z}}\Big(\frac{\Delta_1}{\Delta_{n-i}}\,,\,\frac{I^i(T)}{I^{i+1}(T)}\Big) \overset{(-\tilde{\tau}_1,\nu_i\tau_2^n)^t}{\longrightarrow} \Delta_{n-i} \otimes \left(\frac{I^i(T)}{I^{i+1}(T)}\right) \oplus \frac{\Delta_1 I^{i+1}(T)}{\sum\limits_{k=i+1}^{n} \Delta_{n-k+1}\, I^k(T)}$$

$$\xrightarrow{(\tilde{\mu}_i,\tilde{\iota}_i)} \frac{\Delta_1 I^i(T)}{\sum\limits_{k=i+1}^{n} \Delta_{n-k+1}\, I^k(T)} \xrightarrow{(q_i\otimes 1)s} \frac{\Delta_1}{\Delta_{n-i}} \otimes \frac{I^i(T)}{I^{i+1}(T)} \longrightarrow 0 \tag{44}$$

is exact where $q_i : \Delta_1 \twoheadrightarrow \Delta_1/\Delta_{n-i}$ is the canonical projection. Then the assertion follows by passing to the quotient modulo $\mathrm{Im}\big(\Delta_{n-i+1} \otimes (I^i(T)/I^{i+1}(T))\big)$ and modulo $\tilde{\mu}_i\,\mathrm{Im}\big(\Delta_{n-i+1} \otimes (I^i(T)/I^{i+1}(T))\big) = \mathrm{Im}(\Delta_{n-i+1} \otimes I^i(T))$, respectively. Just note that $\tilde{\tau}_1$ composed with the quotient map $\Delta_{n-i} \otimes \Big(\frac{I^i(T)}{I^{i+1}(T)}\Big) \twoheadrightarrow \Big(\frac{\Delta_{n-i}}{\Delta_{n-i+1}}\Big) \otimes \Big(\frac{I^i(T)}{I^{i+1}(T)}\Big)$ equals τ_1 by naturality of six-term exact sequences. □

As we will see next, Proposition 4.3 allows to successively "unscrew" the filtration quotients of $\mathcal{K}^\Delta$. The first case, however, is plain:

Computation of $\mathcal{K}_2^\Delta/\mathcal{K}_3^\Delta$: For $n = 2$ and $i = 1$ Proposition 4.3 provides the exact sequence

$$0 = \mathrm{Tor}_1^{\mathbb{Z}}\Big(\frac{\Delta_1}{\Delta_1}, \frac{I(T)}{I^2(T)}\Big) \longrightarrow \frac{\Delta_1}{\Delta_2} \otimes \frac{I(T)}{I^2(T)} \oplus \frac{\Delta_1\, I^2(T)}{\Delta_1\, I^2(T)} \xrightarrow{(\mu_1,\iota_1)} \frac{\mathcal{K}_2^\Delta}{\mathcal{K}_3^\Delta} \to 0$$

whence

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\mathcal{K}_2^\Delta}{\mathcal{K}_3^\Delta} &\cong \frac{\Delta_1}{\Delta_2} \otimes \frac{I(T)}{I^2(T)} \\ &\cong \begin{cases} N/N_{(2)} \otimes T/T_2 & \text{if} \quad \Delta = \Lambda \\ N/N_2 \otimes T/T_2 & \text{if} \quad \Delta = I(N)\Lambda \end{cases} \end{aligned} \tag{45}$$

as

$$\frac{(I(N)\,\Lambda)_1}{(I(N)\,\Lambda)_2} = \frac{I(N)}{I^2(N)} \cong N/N_2\,. \tag{46}$$

Proof of Theorem 2.1 : By (8) and (9) the desired computation of $Q_2(G)$ and $Q_2(G,T)$ follows from Theorem 1.5 and (45) for $\Delta = \Lambda$. By (10), $Q_2(G,N) \cong \Lambda_1 I(N)/\Lambda_2 I(N) \oplus \Gamma_1^* I(N)/\Gamma_2^* I(N)$. But $\Lambda_1 I(N)/\Lambda_2 I(N) \cong \mathrm{U}_2^{\mathcal{N}\gamma}(N,N)$ by Theorem 1.7, and $\Gamma_1^* I(N)/\Gamma_2^* I(N) \cong T/T_2 \otimes N/N_2$ by Remark 4.2 and (45). □

Computation of $\mathcal{K}_3^\Delta/\mathcal{K}_4^\Delta$: Taking $n = 3$ and $i = 1, 2$ Proposition 4.3 provides the following two exact sequences

$$\mathrm{Tor}_1^{\mathbb{Z}}\Big(\frac{\Delta_1}{\Delta_2}, \frac{I(T)}{I^2(T)}\Big) \xrightarrow{(-\tau_1,\nu_1\tau_2^3)^t} \frac{\Delta_2}{\Delta_3} \otimes \frac{I(T)}{I^2(T)} \oplus \frac{\Delta_1\, I^2(T)}{\Delta_2\, I^2(T) + \Delta_1\, I^3(T)} \xrightarrow{(\mu_1,\iota_1)}\mathrel{\mkern-14mu}\rightarrow \frac{\mathcal{K}_3^\Delta}{\mathcal{K}_4^\Delta}$$

$$\mathrm{Tor}_1^{\mathbb{Z}}\Big(\frac{\Delta_1}{\Delta_1}, \frac{I^2(T)}{I^3(T)}\Big) \longrightarrow \frac{\Delta_1}{\Delta_2} \otimes \frac{I^2(T)}{I^3(T)} \oplus \frac{\Delta_1\, I^3(T)}{\Delta_1\, I^3(T)} \xrightarrow{(\mu_2,\iota_2)}\mathrel{\mkern-14mu}\rightarrow \frac{\Delta_1\, I^2(T)}{\Delta_2\, I^2(T) + \Delta_1\, I^3(T)}$$

Using Theorem 1.5 we thus obtain the following result which requires the connecting homomorphisms

$$\frac{\Delta_{p+1}}{\Delta_{p+2}} \otimes \mathrm{U}_q\mathrm{L}^\gamma(T) \xleftarrow{\hat{\tau}_1^{pq}} \mathrm{Tor}_1^{\mathbb{Z}}\big(\frac{\Delta_p}{\Delta_{p+1}}\,,\mathrm{U}_q\mathrm{L}^\gamma(T)\big) \xrightarrow{\hat{\tau}_2^{pq}} \frac{\Delta_p}{\Delta_{p+1}} \otimes \frac{\mathrm{U}_{q+1}\mathrm{L}^\gamma(T)}{\mathrm{Im}(\delta_2^q)}$$

for $p, q = 1, 2$ where $\hat{\tau}_1^{pq}$ is induced by the short exact sequence (23), and $\hat{\tau}_2^{pq}$ is induced by sequence (24) for $q = 1$ and by sequence (39) for $q = 2$, both with $(G, \mathcal{G}) = (T, \gamma)$, compare (36).

Theorem 4.4 *For any descending subgroup filtration* Δ *of* $I(N)$ *there is a natural exact sequence*

$$\mathrm{Tor}_1^{\mathbb{Z}}(\Delta_1/\Delta_2\,, T^{ab}) \xrightarrow{\delta_2^\Delta} (\Delta_2/\Delta_3) \otimes T^{ab} \;\oplus\; (\Delta_1/\Delta_2) \otimes \mathrm{U}_2\mathrm{L}^\gamma(T) \xrightarrow{\mu} \mathrel{\mkern-14mu}\rightarrow \mathcal{K}_3^\Delta/\mathcal{K}_4^\Delta$$

where $\delta_2^\Delta = (-\hat{\tau}_1^{11}, \hat{\tau}_2^{11})^t$ *and* μ *is given by the isomorphisms* θ_k^γ, $k = 1, 2$, *and multiplication in* $\mathbb{Z}(G)$. □

Proof of Theorem 2.3 : By (8) and (9) the desired computation of $Q_3(G)$ and $Q_3(G, T)$ follows from Theorem 4.4 taking $\Delta = \Lambda$: it suffices to note that Theorem 1.5 provides an isomorphism between sequence (23) for $p = 1$ and sequence (24) for $(G, \mathcal{G}) = (N, \mathcal{N})$; this isomorphism transforms the maps $\hat{\tau}_k^{11}$ in τ_k^{11}, $k = 1, 2$, which were computed in (37) and (38).

Next by (10), $Q_3(G, N) \cong \Lambda_2 I(N)/\Lambda_3 I(N) \;\oplus\; \Gamma_2^* I(N)/\Gamma_3^* I(N)$. Taking $\Delta = I(N)\Lambda$ and using (40) Theorem 4.4 provides a presentation of $I(N)\mathcal{K}_2^*/I(N)\mathcal{K}_3^*$ which turns into the desired one of $\Gamma_2^* I(N)/\Gamma_3^* I(N)$ by means of Remark 4.2. To make this explicit, first note that the mirror-symmetric version of sequence (23) for $p = 1$ and $\Delta = I(N)\Lambda$ is

$$0 \;\to\; \frac{\Lambda_1 I(N)}{\Lambda_2 I(N)} \overset{\alpha}{\hookrightarrow} \frac{\Lambda_1}{\Lambda_2 I(N)} \;\to\; \frac{\Lambda_1}{\Lambda_1 I(N)} \;\to\; 0 \qquad (47)$$

Next, the mirror-symmetric versions of the maps $\hat{\tau}_1^{11}$ and $\hat{\tau}_2^{11}$ for $\Delta = I(N)\Lambda$ are the connecting homomorphisms $\tau_2^{\Lambda I(N)}$ and $\tau_1^{\Lambda I(N)}$, resp., which form the top row of the commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
T^{ab} \otimes \dfrac{\Lambda_1 I(N)}{\Lambda_2 I(N)} & \mathrm{Tor}_1^{\mathbb{Z}}\big(T^{ab}\,, \dfrac{\Lambda_1}{\Lambda_1 I(N)}\big) \arrow[l, "\tau_2^{\Lambda I(N)}"'] \arrow[r, "\tau_1^{\Lambda I(N)}"] & \mathrm{U}_2\mathrm{L}^\gamma(T) \otimes \dfrac{\Lambda_1}{\Lambda_1 I(N)} \\
T^{ab} \otimes \dfrac{N^{AB} \otimes N^{ab}}{(q_2^{\mathcal{N}} \otimes 1) l_2^\gamma\, \mathrm{Ker}(c_2^\gamma)} \arrow[u, "\cong"', "1\otimes\theta_2^{\mathcal{N}\gamma}(\overline{\nu_{11}^{\mathcal{N}\gamma}})"] & \mathrm{Tor}_1^{\mathbb{Z}}(T^{ab}\,, N^{ab}) \arrow[l, "\tilde{\tau}_2^{\Lambda I(N)}"'] \arrow[r, "\tilde{\tau}_1^{\Lambda I(N)}"] \arrow[u, "\cong"', "(1{,}\theta_1^{\mathcal{N}\gamma})_*"] & \mathrm{U}_2\mathrm{L}^\gamma(T) \otimes N^{ab} \arrow[u, "\cong"', "1\otimes\theta_1^{\mathcal{N}\gamma}"]
\end{tikzcd}$$

and which are induced by the sequences (47), and (24) for $(G, \mathcal{G}) = (T, \gamma)$, resp.

To compute $\tilde{\tau}_2^{\Lambda I(N)}$ let $\langle tT_2, k, nN_2\rangle$ be a canonical generator of $\mathrm{Tor}_1^{\mathbb{Z}}(T^{ab}\,, N^{ab})$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\tau}_2^{\Lambda I(N)}\langle tT_2, k, nN_2\rangle &= (1\otimes\theta_2^{\mathcal{N}\gamma}(\overline{\nu_{11}^{\mathcal{N}\gamma}}))^{-1}\tau_2^{\Lambda I(N)}(1,\theta_1^{\mathcal{N}\gamma})_*\langle tT_2, k, nN_2\rangle \\
&= (1\otimes\theta_2^{\mathcal{N}\gamma}(\overline{\nu_{11}^{\mathcal{N}\gamma}}))^{-1}\tau_2^{\Lambda I(N)}\langle tT_2\,, k\,,\; n-1+I^2(N)\rangle \\
&= (1\otimes\theta_2^{\mathcal{N}\gamma}(\overline{\nu_{11}^{\mathcal{N}\gamma}}))^{-1}\Big((tT_2)\otimes\alpha^{-1}\big(k(n-1)+\Lambda_2 I(N)\big)\Big) \\
&= (tT_2)\otimes(\theta_2^{\mathcal{N}\gamma}(\overline{\nu_{11}^{\mathcal{N}\gamma}}))^{-1}\Big((n^k-1)-\binom{k}{2}(n-1)^2+\Lambda_2 I(N)\Big) \\
&= (tT_2)\otimes(\theta_2^{\mathcal{N}\gamma}(\overline{\nu_{11}^{\mathcal{N}\gamma}}))^{-1}\Big((\prod_{i=1}^{p}[n_i,n_i']-1)-\binom{k}{2}(n-1)^2+\Lambda_2 I(N)\Big) \\
&= (tT_2)\otimes(\theta_2^{\mathcal{N}\gamma}(\overline{\nu_{11}^{\mathcal{N}\gamma}}))^{-1}\Big(\sum_{i=1}^{p}\Big((n_i-1)(n_i'-1)-(n_i'-1)(n_i-1)\Big) \\
&\qquad\qquad -\binom{k}{2}(n-1)^2+\Lambda_2 I(N)\Big) \quad \text{by (30) and (32)} \\
&= (tT_2)\otimes\Bigg(\sum_{i=1}^{p}\Big((n_iN_{(2)})\otimes(n_i'N_2)-(n_i'N_{(2)})\otimes(n_iN_2)\Big) \\
&\qquad\qquad -\binom{k}{2}(nN_{(2)})\otimes(nN_2)+(q_2^{\mathcal{N}}\otimes 1)l_2^{\gamma}\,\mathrm{Ker}(c_2^{\gamma})\Bigg)
\end{aligned}$$

This provides the first component of the map $\delta_3 = (\tilde{\tau}_2^{\Lambda I(N)},\, -\,\tilde{\tau}_1^{\Lambda I(N)})$ which is the mirror-symmetric version of $-\,\delta_2^{I(N)\Lambda}$; the second component is obtained by a similar computation of $\tilde{\tau}_1^{\Lambda I(N)}$. $\square$

Computation of $\mathcal{K}_4^{\Delta}/\mathcal{K}_5^{\Delta}$: Proposition 4.3 provides the following four exact sequences extracted from sequence (43), taking $n=4$ and $i=1,2,2,3$ resp.:

$$\mathrm{Tor}_1^{\mathbb{Z}}(\tfrac{\Delta_1}{\Delta_3}\,,\tfrac{I(T)}{I^2(T)}) \xrightarrow{(-\tau_1,\nu_1\tau_2^4)} \tfrac{\Delta_3}{\Delta_4}\otimes\tfrac{I(T)}{I^2(T)} \;\oplus\; \tfrac{\Delta_1\,I^2(T)}{\sum_{k=2}^4\Delta_{5-k}I^k(T)} \overset{(\mu_1,\iota_1)}{\twoheadrightarrow} \tfrac{\Delta_3\,I(T)+\Delta_1\,I^2(T)}{\mathcal{K}_5^{\Delta}} \tag{48}$$

$$\mathrm{Tor}_1^{\mathbb{Z}}(\tfrac{\Delta_1}{\Delta_2}\,,\tfrac{I^2(T)}{I^3(T)}) \xrightarrow{(-\tau_1,\nu_2\tau_2^4)} \tfrac{\Delta_2}{\Delta_3}\otimes\tfrac{I^2(T)}{I^3(T)} \;\oplus\; \tfrac{\Delta_1\,I^3(T)}{\sum_{k=3}^4\Delta_{5-k}I^k(T)} \overset{(\mu_2,\iota_2)}{\twoheadrightarrow} \tfrac{\Delta_2\,I^2(T)+\Delta_1\,I^3(T)}{\sum_{k=2}^4\Delta_{5-k}I^k(T)} \tag{49}$$

$$\tfrac{\Delta_2\,I^2(T)+\Delta_1\,I^3(T)}{\sum_{k=2}^4\Delta_{5-k}I^k(T)} \hookrightarrow \tfrac{\Delta_1\,I^2(T)}{\sum_{k=2}^4\Delta_{5-k}I^k(T)} \overset{s_2}{\twoheadrightarrow} \tfrac{\Delta_1}{\Delta_2}\otimes\tfrac{I^2(T)}{I^3(T)} \tag{50}$$

$$\mathrm{Tor}_1^{\mathbb{Z}}(\tfrac{\Delta_1}{\Delta_1}\,,\tfrac{I^3(T)}{I^4(T)}) \longrightarrow \tfrac{\Delta_1}{\Delta_2}\otimes\tfrac{I^3(T)}{I^4(T)} \;\oplus\; \tfrac{\Delta_1\,I^4(T)}{\Delta_1\,I^4(T)} \overset{(\mu_3,\iota_3)}{\twoheadrightarrow} \tfrac{\Delta_1\,I^3(T)}{\sum_{k=3}^4\Delta_{5-k}I^k(T)} \tag{51}$$

Combining sequences (49) and (51) with Theorems 1.5 and 1.9 provides an exact sequence

$$\mathrm{Tor}_1^{\mathbb{Z}}(\tfrac{\Delta_1}{\Delta_2}\,, \mathrm{U}_2\mathrm{L}^\gamma(T)) \xrightarrow{(-\hat{\tau}_1^{12}, \hat{\tau}_2^{12})} \tfrac{\Delta_2}{\Delta_3} \otimes \mathrm{U}_2\mathrm{L}^\gamma(T) \,\oplus\, \tfrac{\Delta_1}{\Delta_2} \otimes \mathrm{Coker}(\delta_1^\gamma) \overset{(\mu_2, \iota_2)}{\twoheadrightarrow} \frac{\Delta_2\, I^2(T) + \Delta_1\, I^3(T)}{\sum_{k=2}^4 \Delta_{5-k} I^k(T)} \tag{52}$$

Theorem 4.5 *For any descending subgroup filtration* Δ *of* $I(N)$ *the quotient* $\mathcal{K}_4^\Delta/\mathcal{K}_5^\Delta$ *is determined by the following tower of successive natural quotients where* $\mathrm{Ker}\,(\pi_k) = \mathrm{Im}(\xi_k)\,,\ k = 1, 2, 3\,.$

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\frac{\Delta_1}{\Delta_2} \otimes \mathrm{Tor}_1^{\mathbb{Z}}(T^{ab}, T^{ab}) \arrow[r, "\xi_1"] & \frac{\Delta_3}{\Delta_4} \otimes T^{ab} \oplus \frac{\Delta_2}{\Delta_3} \otimes \mathrm{U}_2\mathrm{L}^\gamma(T) \oplus \frac{\Delta_1}{\Delta_2} \otimes \mathrm{U}_3\mathrm{L}^\gamma(T) \arrow[d, two heads, "\pi_1"] \\
\mathrm{Tor}_1^{\mathbb{Z}}(\frac{\Delta_2}{\Delta_3}, T^{ab}) \oplus \mathrm{Tor}_1^{\mathbb{Z}}(\frac{\Delta_1}{\Delta_2}, \mathrm{U}_2\mathrm{L}^\gamma(T)) \arrow[r, "\xi_2"] & \frac{\Delta_3}{\Delta_4} \otimes T^{ab} \oplus \frac{\Delta_2}{\Delta_3} \otimes \mathrm{U}_2\mathrm{L}^\gamma(T) \oplus \frac{\Delta_1}{\Delta_2} \otimes \mathrm{Coker}(\delta_1^\gamma) \arrow[d, two heads, "\pi_2"] \\
\mathrm{Ker}(\delta_2^\Delta) \arrow[r, "\xi_3"] & \mathrm{Coker}(\xi_2) \arrow[d, two heads, "\pi_3"] \\
 & \mathcal{K}_4^\Delta/\mathcal{K}_5^\Delta
\end{tikzcd}$$

Here $\xi_1 = (0, 0, 1 \otimes \delta_1^\gamma)^t$, $\xi_2 = \begin{pmatrix} -\hat{\tau}_1^{21} & \hat{\tau}_2^{21} & 0 \\ 0 & -\hat{\tau}_1^{12} & \hat{\tau}_2^{12} \end{pmatrix}^t$, $\delta_2^\Delta = (-\hat{\tau}_1^{11}, \hat{\tau}_2^{11})^t$ *as in Theorem 4.4, and the map* ξ_3 *is defined in the proof below. To describe it explicitly, suppose that* Δ_1/Δ_2 *and* T *are finitely generated with cyclic decomposition* $\mathrm{Tor}(\Delta_1/\Delta_2) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^r \mathbb{Z}/a_i\mathbb{Z}\langle \bar{x}_i \rangle$, $x_i \in \Delta_1$, *and with the remaining notations of Theorem 2.4. Then an element* $\omega = \sum_{i,j} \langle \bar{x}_i, k_{ij}, \bar{t}_j \rangle \in \mathrm{Tor}_1^{\mathbb{Z}}(\frac{\Delta_1}{\Delta_2}, T^{ab})$ *lies in* $\mathrm{Ker}\,(\delta_2^\Delta)$ *if and only if the conditions (i) and (ii) in Theorem 2.4 hold, as well as the following condition*

(iii)' $\forall 1 \le j \le s\,, \qquad \sum_{i=1}^r k_{ij} x_i = b_j \delta_j^2 + \delta_j^3$ *with* $\delta_j^k \in \Delta_k\,,\ k = 2, 3\,.$

In this case,

$$\begin{aligned}\xi_3(\omega) \;=\; \pi_2\pi_1 \Bigg(& -\sum_{j=1}^{s} \overline{\delta_j^3}\otimes \bar{t}_j \,,\; \sum_{i=1}^{r} \overline{a_i x_i}\otimes \Bigg((u_i T_3) - \sum_{j=1}^{s} \frac{p_{ij}}{d_{ij}}\binom{k_{ij}}{2}(t_jT_2)^2\Bigg) \\ & - \sum_{j=1}^{s} \overline{\delta_j^2}\otimes \Bigg((t_j^{b_j}T_3) - \binom{b_j}{2}(t_jT_2)^2\Bigg)\,, \\ & + \sum_{i=1}^{r} \bar{x}_i \otimes \Bigg((\bar{x}_i\otimes (v_iT_4) - \sum_{j=1}^{s} \bigg(\frac{q_{ij}}{d_{ij}}\binom{k_{ij}}{2}(t_j^{b_j}T_3)(t_jT_2) \\ & + \bigg(\binom{k_{ij}}{3} - \frac{q_{ij}}{d_{ij}}\binom{k_{ij}}{2}\binom{b_j}{2}\bigg)(t_jT_2)^3\bigg)\Bigg)\Bigg)\end{aligned}$$

Proof: First note that

$$\mathrm{Coker}(\xi_1) \;\cong\; \tfrac{\Delta_3}{\Delta_4}\otimes T^{ab} \quad\oplus\quad \tfrac{\Delta_2}{\Delta_3}\otimes \mathrm{U}_2\mathrm{L}^{\gamma}(T) \quad\oplus\quad \tfrac{\Delta_1}{\Delta_2}\otimes \tfrac{I^3(T)}{I^4(T)}$$

by Theorem 1.9. Now consider the following diagram where implicitly $n=4$, α and β are the obvious injections, $\alpha' = \alpha\otimes 1$, ρ,ρ',q are the obvious quotient maps, and $\tau_1 = \tau_1^{4,1,1}$, $\tau_2 = \tau_1^{4,1,1,4}$, $\tau_1' = \tau_1^{4,1,2}$, $\tau_2' = \tau_1^{4,1,2,4}$.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{Tor}_1^{\mathbb{Z}}(\tfrac{\Delta_2}{\Delta_3}, \tfrac{I(T)}{I^2(T)}) \arrow[r, "(\alpha,1)_*"] \arrow[d, "(-\tau_1',\tau_2')^t"] & \mathrm{Tor}_1^{\mathbb{Z}}(\tfrac{\Delta_1}{\Delta_3}, \tfrac{I(T)}{I^2(T)}) \arrow[r, "(\rho,1)_*"] \arrow[d, "(-\tau_1,\tau_2)^t"] & \mathrm{Tor}_1^{\mathbb{Z}}(\tfrac{\Delta_1}{\Delta_2}, \tfrac{I(T)}{I^2(T)}) \arrow[d, "\tau_2^{3,1,1,3}"] \\
\tfrac{\Delta_3}{\Delta_4}\otimes\tfrac{I(T)}{I^2(T)} \oplus \tfrac{\Delta_2}{\Delta_3}\otimes\tfrac{I^2(T)}{I^4(T)} \arrow[r, hook, "1\oplus\alpha'"] \arrow[d, "1\oplus\nu_1(i\otimes 1)"] & \tfrac{\Delta_3}{\Delta_4}\otimes\tfrac{I(T)}{I^2(T)} \oplus \tfrac{\Delta_1}{\Delta_3}\otimes\tfrac{I^2(T)}{I^4(T)} \arrow[r, two heads, "(0,\rho\otimes\rho')"] \arrow[d, "1\oplus\nu_1"] & \tfrac{\Delta_1}{\Delta_2}\otimes\tfrac{I^2(T)}{I^3(T)} \arrow[d, equal] \\
\tfrac{\Delta_3}{\Delta_4}\otimes\tfrac{I(T)}{I^2(T)} \oplus \tfrac{\Delta_2 I^2(T)+\Delta_1 I^3(T)}{\sum_{k=2}^{4}\Delta_{5-k}I^k(T)} \arrow[r, hook, "1\oplus\beta"] \arrow[d, two heads, "q"] & \tfrac{\Delta_3}{\Delta_4}\otimes\tfrac{I(T)}{I^2(T)} \oplus \tfrac{\Delta_1 I^2(T)}{\sum_{k=2}^{4}\Delta_{5-k}I^k(T)} \arrow[r, two heads, "(0,s_2)"] \arrow[d, two heads, "(\mu_1,\iota_1)"] & \tfrac{\Delta_1}{\Delta_2}\otimes\tfrac{I^2(T)}{I^3(T)} \\
\mathrm{Coker}((-\tau_1',\nu_1(i\otimes 1)\tau_2')^t) \arrow[r, "\overline{1\oplus\beta}"] & \tfrac{\Delta_3 I(T)+\Delta_1 I^2(T)}{\mathcal{K}_5^{\Delta}} &
\end{tikzcd} \tag{53}$$

The upper squares commute by naturality of the connecting homomorphisms; for the right-hand square we have to use this argument twice, according to the factorization $\rho\otimes\rho' = (1\otimes\rho')(\rho\otimes 1)$: we have $(\rho\otimes 1)\tau_2 = \tau_2^{3,1,1,4}(\rho,1)_*$ and $(1\otimes\rho')\tau_2^{3,1,1,4} = \tau_2^{3,1,1,3}$. The middle squares commute by definition of the concerned maps; together with exactness of sequence (48) this implies that the map $\overline{1 \oplus \beta}$ induced by $1 \oplus \beta$ is well-defined. So the whole diagram commutes. Furthermore, the first and third row are exact; in fact, the top row is part of a 6-term exact sequence, and exactness of the third row follows from sequence (50).

Now observe that $\mathcal{K}_4^\Delta / \mathcal{K}_5^\Delta = \mathrm{Im}(\overline{1 \oplus \beta})$. But using sequence (52) and Theorems 1.5 and 1.9 we obtain an isomorphism

$$\Xi : \ \mathrm{Coker}((-\tau_1', \nu_1(i \otimes 1)\tau_2')^t) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathrm{Coker}(\xi_2)\ .$$

Thus in order to establish the desired tower it remains to show that $\Xi(\mathrm{Ker}\,(\overline{1 \oplus \beta}))$ $= \mathrm{Im}(\xi_3)$ for a suitable map ξ_3. To do this we apply the snake lemma with respect to the diagram whose rows are the first and third row of diagram (53) and whose vertical maps are the compositions of the respective vertical maps in (53). Using sequence (48) we thus obtain an exact sequence

$$\mathrm{Im}((\rho,1)_*) \cap \mathrm{Ker}\,(\tau_2^{3,1,1,3}) \xrightarrow{\xi_3'} \mathrm{Coker}((-\tau_1', \nu_1(i \otimes 1)\tau_2')^t) \xrightarrow{\overline{1\oplus\beta}} \frac{\Delta_3 I(T) + \Delta_1 I^2(T)}{\mathcal{K}_5^\Delta}$$

where ξ_3' is given by the switchback rule $\xi_3' = q(1 \oplus \beta)^{-1}(-\tau_1, \nu_1\tau_2)^t(\rho,1)_*^{-1}$. But $\mathrm{Ker}\,(\tau_2^{3,1,1,3}) = (1, \theta_1^\gamma)_* \mathrm{Ker}\,(\hat{\tau}_2^{11})$ and $\mathrm{Im}((\rho,1)_*) = \mathrm{Ker}\,(\tau_1^{3,1,1}) = (1,\theta_1^\gamma)_* \mathrm{Ker}\,(\hat{\tau}_1^{11})$ by the corresponding 6-term exact sequence, so we get $\mathrm{Im}((\rho,1)_*) \cap \mathrm{Ker}\,(\tau_2^{3,1,1,3}) =$ $(1,\theta_1^\gamma)_* \mathrm{Ker}\,(\delta_2^\Delta)$ since $(1,\theta_1^\gamma)_*$ is an isomorphism. Now define ξ_3 to be $\Xi\xi_3'$ precomposed with the isomorphism $\mathrm{Ker}\,(\delta_2^\Delta) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathrm{Im}((\rho,1)_*) \cap \mathrm{Ker}\,(\tau_2^{3,1,1,3})$ induced by $(1,\theta_1^\gamma)_*$. Then the asserted tower is established.

It remains to prove the claims concerning the element ω. One has

$$\hat{\tau}_1^{11}(\omega) = \sum_{j=1}^{s} \Big(\sum_{i=1}^{r} k_{ij} x_i + \Delta_3 \Big) \otimes (t_j T_2) \ \in \frac{\Delta_2}{\Delta_3} \otimes T^{ab} \ \cong \ \bigoplus_{j=1}^{s} \frac{\Delta_2}{\Delta_3} \otimes \mathbb{Z}/b_j\mathbb{Z}\langle t_j T_2 \rangle\,,$$

whence $\hat{\tau}_1^{11}(\omega) = 0$ iff $\forall j$, $\sum_{i=1}^r k_{ij}x_i + \Delta_3 \in b_j \frac{\Delta_2}{\Delta_3}$, i.e., $\sum_{i=1}^r k_{ij} x_i = b_j \delta_j^2 + \delta_j^3$ with $\delta_j^k \in \Delta_k$. On the other hand, noting $\bar{x}_i = x_i + \Delta_2$ and $b_{jk} = \gcd(b_j, b_k)$, we obtain as in the proof of Lemma 1.8

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{\tau}_2^{11}(\omega) &= \sum_{i=1}^{r} \bar{x}_i \otimes \sum_{j=1}^{s} (\theta_2^\gamma)^{-1}\Big((k_{ij}(t_j - 1) + I^3(T) \Big) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{r} \bar{x}_i \otimes \sum_{j=1}^{s} \Big((t_j^{k_{ij}} T_3) - \binom{k_{ij}}{2} (t_j T_2)^2 \Big) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{r} \bar{x}_i \otimes \Big(\prod_{j=1}^{s} t_j^{k_{ij}} \Big) T_3 \ - \ \sum_{i,j} \bar{x}_i \otimes \binom{k_{ij}}{2} (t_j T_2)^2 \\
&\in \frac{\Delta_1}{\Delta_2} \otimes \mathrm{U}_2\mathrm{L}^\gamma(T) \\
&\cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^{r} \Big(\mathbb{Z}/a_i\mathbb{Z}\langle \bar{x}_i \rangle \otimes (T_2/T_3) \Big) \oplus \bigoplus_{\substack{1 \le i \le r \\ 1 \le j \le k \le s}} \mathbb{Z}/a_i\mathbb{Z}\langle \bar{x}_i \rangle \otimes \mathbb{Z}/b_{jk}\mathbb{Z}\langle (t_j T_2) \otimes (t_k T_2) \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

where we use the classical direct sum decomposition $\mathrm{U}_2\mathrm{L}^\gamma(T) = T_2/T_3 \oplus \mathrm{SP}^2(T^{ab})$ for finitely generated T^{ab}, see also Proposition 1.11. Thus $\hat{\tau}_2^{11}(\omega) = 0$ iff $\forall i$, $\prod_{j=1}^s t_j^{k_{ij}} \in T_2^{a_i}T_3$ and $\forall i,j$, $d_{ij} = \gcd(a_i, b_{jj})$ divides $\binom{k_{ij}}{2}$.

But d_{ij} divides k_{ij} since the latter is a commun multiple of a_i and b_j, as we suppose that the element $\langle \overline{x_i}, k_{ij}, \overline{t_j})\rangle$ is defined. Thus d_{ij} divides $\binom{k_{ij}}{2}$ if and only if condition (i) is satisfied. It follows that $\omega \in \mathrm{Ker}(\delta_2^\Delta)$ iff the conditions (i), (ii) and (iii)' are satisfied.

To simplify the notation we henceforth identify T^{ab} with $I(T)/I^2(T)$ via the isomorphism θ_1^γ. Then for $\omega \in \mathrm{Ker}(\delta_2^\Delta)$,

$$\omega = \sum_{j=1}^s \sum_{i=1}^r \langle \frac{k_{ij}}{b_j}\bar{x}_i\,, b_j, t_jT_2\rangle = \sum_{j=1}^s \langle \sum_{i=1}^r \frac{k_{ij}}{b_j}\bar{x}_i\,, b_j, t_jT_2\rangle = (\rho,1)_*(\omega')$$

with $\omega' = \sum_{j=1}^s \langle \sum_{i=1}^r \frac{k_{ij}}{b_j}x_i - \delta_j^2 + \Delta_3\,, b_j, t_jT_2\rangle$. Abbreviating $A = \sum_{k=2}^4 \Delta_{5-k}I^k(T)$, we next get

$$\begin{aligned}
&(-\tau_1, \nu_1\tau_2)^t(\omega') \\
&\quad= \sum_{j=1}^s \Big(-(\delta_j^3 + \Delta_4) \otimes (t_jT_2)\,,\ \Big(\sum_{i=1}^r \frac{k_{ij}}{b_j}x_i - \delta_j^2\Big) b_j(t_j - 1) + A\Big) \\
&\quad= \left(-\sum_{j=1}^s \overline{\delta_j^3} \otimes \bar{t}_j\,,\ \sum_{i=1}^r x_i \Big(\sum_{j=1}^s k_{ij}(t_j - 1)\Big) - \sum_{j=1}^s \delta_j^2 \left((t_j^{b_j} - 1) - \binom{b_j}{2}(t_j - 1)^2\right) + A\right)
\end{aligned} \tag{54}$$

The remaining calculations are again based on the identities (31) and (30). Using these and the fact that $t_j^{k_{ij}} \in T_2$ we obtain the following congruences modulo $I^4(T)$, for $1 \le i \le r$:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{j=1}^s k_{ij}(t_j - 1) \\
&\quad\equiv \sum_{j=1}^s \left((t_j^{k_{ij}} - 1) - \binom{k_{ij}}{2}(t_j - 1)^2 - \binom{k_{ij}}{3}(t_j - 1)^3 \right) \\
&\quad\underset{\text{(i)}}{\equiv} \prod_{j=1}^s t_j^{k_{ij}} - 1 - \sum_{j=1}^s \left(\frac{1}{d_{ij}}\binom{k_{ij}}{2} \Big(a_ip_{ij}(t_j - 1)^2 + b_jq_{ij}(t_j - 1)^2 \Big) + \binom{k_{ij}}{3}(t_j - 1)^3 \right) \\
&\quad\underset{\text{(ii)}}{\equiv} u_i^{a_i}v_i - 1 - a_i \sum_{j=1}^s \frac{p_{ij}}{d_{ij}}\binom{k_{ij}}{2}(t_j - 1)^2 - \sum_{j=1}^s \left(\frac{q_{ij}}{d_{ij}}\binom{k_{ij}}{2} \Big((t_j^{b_j} - 1) - \binom{b_j}{2}(t_j - 1)^2 \Big) \right. \\
&\qquad\quad \left. \times (t_j - 1) + \binom{k_{ij}}{3}(t_j - 1)^3 \right)
\end{aligned}$$

As $u_i^{a_i}v_i - 1 \equiv a_i(u_i-1) + (v_i-1)$ modulo $I^4(T)$ since $u_i, v_i \in T_2$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
x_i \sum_{j=1}^{s} k_{ij}(t_j-1) \;\equiv\;& a_i x_i \Big((u_i-1) - \sum_{j=1}^{s} \frac{p_{ij}}{d_{ij}} \binom{k_{ij}}{2} (t_j-1)^2 \Big) \\
&+ x_i \Bigg((v_i-1) - \sum_{j=1}^{s} \Bigg(\frac{q_{ij}}{d_{ij}} \binom{k_{ij}}{2} (t_j^{b_j}-1)(t_j-1) \\
&+ \Big(\binom{k_{ij}}{3} - \frac{q_{ij}}{d_{ij}} \binom{k_{ij}}{2} \binom{b_j}{2} \Big) (t_j-1)^3 \Bigg) \Bigg) \bmod A \quad (55)
\end{aligned}$$

The formula for $\xi_3(\omega)$ now follows by combining the identities (54) and (55). □

Proof of Theorem 2.4 : Taking $\Delta = \Lambda$ the tower in Theorem 4.5 transforms into the one in Theorem 2.4 by use of Theorems 1.5 and 1.9. It remains to check equivalence between the set of conditions (i) - (iii) and the set (i), (ii) and (iii)', as well as the asserted formula for ξ_3. First of all, we may take $x_i = n_i - 1$ in Theorem 4.5. Now let $\omega = \sum_{i,j} \langle n_i N_{(2)}, k_{ij}, t_j T_2 \rangle \in \mathrm{Tor}_1^{\mathbb{Z}}(N^{AB}, T^{ab})$. Using (31) and (30) together with the fact that $n_i^{k_{ij}} \in N_{(2)}$ we get the following identities for $1 \le j \le s$, denoting $a_{ij} = \gcd(a_i, a_j)$:

$$\begin{aligned}
(\theta_2^{\mathcal{N}})^{-1} \Big(\sum_{i=1}^{r} k_{ij}(n_i-1) + \Lambda_3 \Big) \;&=\; (\theta_2^{\mathcal{N}})^{-1} \Bigg(\sum_{i=1}^{r} \Big((n_i^{k_{ij}}-1) - \binom{k_{ij}}{2} (n_i-1)^2 + \Lambda_3 \Big) \Bigg) \\
&=\; \Big(\prod_{i=1}^{r} n_i^{k_{ij}} \Big) N_{(3)} - \sum_{i=1}^{r} \binom{k_{ij}}{2} (n_i N_{(2)})^2 \\
&\in\; \mathrm{U}_2\mathrm{L}^{\mathcal{N}}(N) \\
&=\; N_{(2)}/N_{(3)} \oplus \bigoplus_{1 \le i \le j \le r} \mathbb{Z}/a_{ij}\mathbb{Z} \langle (n_i N_{(2)})(n_j N_{(2)}) \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

Here we use the standard direct sum decomposition $\mathrm{U}_2\mathrm{L}^{\mathcal{N}}(N) \cong N_{(2)}/N_{(3)} \oplus \mathrm{SP}^2(N^{AB})$, cf. (35). Hence (iii)' implies (iii). Conversely, suppose that ω satisfies conditions (i) - (iii). Then a similar calculation as in the proof of Theorem 4.5 shows that for $1 \le j \le s$ and modulo Λ_4,

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=1}^{r} k_{ij}(n_i-1) \;\equiv\;& b_j \Big((y_j-1) - \sum_{i=1}^{r} \frac{q_{ij}}{d_{ij}} \binom{k_{ij}}{2} (n_i-1)^2 \Big) + (z_j-1) \\
&- \sum_{i=1}^{r} \Bigg(\frac{p_{ij}}{d_{ij}} \binom{k_{ij}}{2} (n_i^{a_i}-1)(n_i-1) \\
&+ \Big(\binom{k_{ij}}{3} - \frac{p_{ij}}{d_{ij}} \binom{k_{ij}}{2} \binom{a_i}{2} \Big) (n_i-1)^3 \Bigg)
\end{aligned}$$

Thus condition (iii)' is satisfied for

$$\delta_j^2 = (y_j - 1) - \sum_{i=1}^{r} \frac{q_{ij}}{d_{ij}} \binom{k_{ij}}{2} (n_i - 1)^2$$

$$\begin{aligned}\delta_j^3 \quad &= \quad (z_j - 1) - \sum_{i=1}^{r} \left(\frac{p_{ij}}{d_{ij}} \binom{k_{ij}}{2} (n_i^{a_i} - 1)(n_i - 1) \right. \\ &\qquad \left. + \left(\binom{k_{ij}}{3} - \frac{p_{ij}}{d_{ij}} \binom{k_{ij}}{2} \binom{a_i}{2} \right) (n_i - 1)^3 \right) + \delta_j^4\end{aligned}$$

for some $\delta_j^4 \in \Lambda_4$. With these values of δ_j^2, δ_j^3 the formula for ξ_3 in Theorem 4.5 turns into the one we wished to prove. $\square$

5 Proofs for section 3

All results quoted in section 3 are based on the following result.

Theorem 5.1 *Let Δ be a descending filtration of $I(N)$ by subgroups $I(N) = \Delta_1 \supset \Delta_2 \supset \ldots$ such that $\mathrm{Tor}_1^{\mathbb{Z}}\left(\frac{\Delta_1}{\Delta_{n-i}}, \frac{I^i(T)}{I^{i+1}(T)} \right) = 0$ for $1 \le i \le n-2$. Then there is a natural isomorphism*

$$\frac{\mathcal{K}_n^{\Delta}}{\mathcal{K}_{n+1}^{\Delta}} \;\cong\; \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n-1} \left(\frac{\Delta_{n-i}}{\Delta_{n-i+1}} \right) \otimes \left(\frac{I^i(T)}{I^{i+1}(T)} \right)$$

induced by multiplication in $I(G)$ (from the right to the left).

Proof: Let $1 \le i \le n-1$ and consider the following diagram where we use the notation of Proposition 4.3, and where $\hat{\mu}_i$ is the factorization of $(\iota_1 \circ \cdots \circ \iota_{i-1})\mu_i$ through its image.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{Im}(\Delta_{n-i} I^i(T)) \arrow[r, hook] & \dfrac{\Delta_1 I(T)}{\sum_{k=1}^{n} \Delta_{n-k+1} I^k(T)} & \\
\dfrac{\Delta_{n-i}}{\Delta_{n-i+1}} \otimes \dfrac{I^i(T)}{I^{i+1}(T)} \arrow[u, two heads, "\hat{\mu}_i"] \arrow[r, "\mu_i"] & \dfrac{\Delta_1 I^i(T)}{\sum_{k=i}^{n} \Delta_{n-k+1} I^k(T)} \arrow[u, "\iota_1 \circ \cdots \circ \iota_{i-1}"'] & \dfrac{\Delta_1 I^{i+1}(T)}{\sum_{k=i+1}^{n} \Delta_{n-k+1} I^k(T)} \arrow[l, "\iota_i"']
\end{tikzcd}$$

If $i = 1$, skip the upper part of the diagram. Note that the Tor-term in the hypothesis also vanishes for $i = n-1$ since then $\Delta_1/\Delta_1 = 0$. Then Proposition 4.3 and the hypothesis imply that

$$\mu_i \text{ and } \iota_i \text{ are injective and } \operatorname{Im}(\mu_i) \cap \operatorname{Im}(\iota_i) = 0. \tag{56}$$

So $(\iota_1 \circ \cdots \circ \iota_{i-1})$ is injective, and consequently the map $\hat{\mu}_i$ is an isomorphism. It remains to show that in $\Delta_1 I(T)/\mathcal{K}^{\Delta}_{n+1}$,

$$\operatorname{Im}(\Delta_{n-j-1} I^{j+1}(T)) \cap \sum_{l=1}^{j} \operatorname{Im}(\Delta_{n-l} I^l(T)) = 0$$

for all $1 \le j \le n-2$. We actually prove more, namely that for all $1 \le j \le n-2$,

$$\operatorname{Im}(\Delta_1 I^{j+1}(T)) \cap \sum_{l=1}^{j} \operatorname{Im}(\Delta_{n-l} I^l(T)) = 0\,. \tag{57}$$

We proceed by induction on j. For $j = 1$, $\operatorname{Im}(\Delta_1 I^2(T)) \cap \operatorname{Im}(\Delta_{n-1} I(T)) = \operatorname{Im}(\iota_1) \cap \operatorname{Im}(\mu_1) = 0$ by (56). Now suppose that relation (57) is true for $j = i-1$. Let $x \in \Delta_1 I^{i+1}(T)$ such that the coset $x + \mathcal{K}^{\Delta}_{n+1}$ lies in $\sum_{l=1}^{i} \operatorname{Im}(\Delta_{n-l} I^l(T))$. Then there are $y \in \dfrac{\Delta_{n-i}}{\Delta_{n-i+1}} \otimes \dfrac{I^i(T)}{I^{i+1}(T)}$, $z \in \sum_{l=1}^{i-1} \operatorname{Im}(\Delta_{n-l} I^l(T))$ such that

$$(\iota_1 \circ \cdots \circ \iota_i)(\bar{x}) = x + \mathcal{K}^{\Delta}_{n+1} = (\iota_1 \circ \cdots \circ \iota_{i-1})(\mu_i y) + z\,.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} (\iota_1 \circ \cdots \circ \iota_{i-1})(\iota_i \bar{x} - \mu_i)(y)) &\in \operatorname{Im}(\Delta_1 I^i(T)) \cap \sum_{l=1}^{i-1} \operatorname{Im}(\Delta_{n-l} I^l(T)) \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

by the induction hypothesis. Therefore, $\iota_i(\bar{x}) = \mu_i(y)$ by injectivity of $\iota_1 \circ \cdots \circ \iota_{i-1}$, and whence $\bar{x} = 0$ by (56). Thus $x + \mathcal{K}^{\Delta}_{n+1} = (\iota_1 \circ \cdots \circ \iota_i)(\bar{x}) = 0$, so (57) also holds for $j = i$, as was to be shown. □

Now we are ready to prove the results stated in section 3. Starting out from (8) and (9) Theorem 3.1 is an immediate consequence of Theorem 5.1 whose hypothesis is satisfied here by Corollary 1.4: in fact, for $1 \le i \le k$ the group $I^i(T)/I^{i+1}(T)$ is torsion-free, while for $k+1 \le i \le n-2$ we have $n-i \le n-k-1$, whence Δ_1/Δ_{n-i} is torsion-free.

Corollary 3.2 then follows, again using Corollary 1.4.

In order to prove Theorem 3.4 first use (10) to reduce to the computation of $\Gamma^*_{n-1} I(N)/\Gamma^*_n I(N)$. Now the conjugation isomorphism $I(N)/\Lambda_{n-i-1} I(N) \cong I(N)/I(N)\Lambda_{n-i-1}$ (see Remark 1.2) shows that Theorem 5.1 applies for $\Delta = I(N)\Lambda$; it provides the desired decomposition of $\Gamma^*_{n-1} I(N)/\Gamma^*_n I(N)$ by means of the mirror symmetry device in Remark 4.2.

Finally, Corollary 3.5 then follows, once again using Corollary 1.4. □

References

[1] F. Bachmann und L. Gruenenfelder, Über Lie-Ringe von Gruppen und ihre universellen Enveloppen, *Comment. Math. Helv.* **47** (1972), 332-340.

[2] F. Bachmann und L. Gruenenfelder, Homological methods and the third dimension subgroup, *Comment. Math. Helv.* **47** (1972), 526-531.

[3] P.-P. Grivel, Une histoire du théorème de Poincaré-Birkhoff-Witt, *Expo. Math.* **22** (2004), 145-184.

[4] M. Hartl, Some successive quotients of group ring filtrations induced by N-series, *Comm. in Algebra* **23** (10) (1995), 3831-3853.

[5] M. Hartl, Polynomiality properties of group extensions with torsion-free abelian kernel, *J. of Algebra* **179** (1996), 380-415.

[6] M. Hartl, The nonabelian tensor square and Schur multiplicator of nilpotent groups of class 2, *J. of Algebra* **179** (1996) 416-440.

[7] M. Hartl, The relative second Fox and third dimension subgroup of arbitrary groups, *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.* **39** (5) (2008), 435-451.

[8] M. Hartl, R. Mikhailov, I. B. S. Passi, Dimension quotients, *J. Indian Math. Soc.* (N.S.) 2007, Special volume on the occasion of the centenary year of IMS (1907-2007), 63-107 (2008).

[9] M. Hartl, On Fox quotients of arbitrary group algebras, *Internat. J. Algebra Comput.,* to appear; http://arxiv.org/abs/0707.0281.

[10] B. Hartley , The residual nilpotence of wreath products, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (3) **20** (1970), 365-392.

[11] R. Karan, L.R. Vermani, A note on augmentation quotients, *Bull. London Math. Soc.* **18** (1986) 5-6.

[12] R. Karan, L.R. Vermani, Augmentation quotients of integral group rings, *J. Indian Math. Soc.* **54** (1989) 107-120.

[13] R. Karan, L.R. Vermani, Augmentation quotients of integral group rings–II, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **65** (1990) 253-262.

[14] R. Karan, L.R. Vermani, Augmentation quotients of integral group rings–III, *J. Indian. Math. Soc.* **58** (1992), 19-32.

[15] R. Karan, L.R. Vermani, Corrigendum: Augmentation quotients of integral group rings–II, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **77** (1992), 229-230.

[16] M. Khambadkone, Subgroup ideals in group rings I, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **30** (1983) 261-275.

[17] M. Khambadkone, On the structure of augmentation ideals in group rings, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **35** (1985) 35-45.

[18] M. Khambadkone, Augmentation quotients of semidirect products, *Arch. Math.* **45** (1985) 407-420.

[19] M. Khambadkone, Subgroup ideals in group rings III, *Communications in Algebra* **14** (3) (1986), 411-421.

[20] G. Losey, On the structure of $Q_2(G)$ for finitely generated groups, *Canad. J. Math.* **25** (1973), 353-359.

[21] S. Mac Lane, *Homology,* Springer Grundlehren, Vol. 114, Springer-Verlag Berlin-Göttingen-Heidelberg, 1963.

[22] R. Mikhailov and I. B. S. Passi, Augmentation powers and group homology, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **192** (2004), 225-238.

[23] R. Mikhailov and I. B. S. Passi, *Lower central and dimension series of groups,* Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 1952, Springer Verlag Berlin-Heidelberg, 2009.

[24] I.B.S. Passi, Polynomial functors, *Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **66** (1969), 505-512.

[25] I.B.S. Passi, *Group Rings and Their Augmentation Ideals,* Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 715, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1979.

[26] Quillen, D., On the associated graded of a group ring, *J. Algebra* **10** (1968), 411-418.

[27] J. J. Rotman, *An Introduction to Homological Algebra*, Academic Press, Inc., 1979.

[28] R. Sandling, Dimension subgroups over arbitrary coefficient rings, *J. Algebra* **21** (1972), 250-265.

[29] R. Sandling, The dimension subgroup problem, *J. Algebra* **2** (1972), 216-231.

[30] R. Sandling and K.-I. Tahara, Augmentation quotients of group rings and symmetric powers, *Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.* **85** (1979), 247-252.

[31] K.-I. Tahara, On the structure of $Q_3(G)$ and the fourth dimension subgroups, *Japan J. Math.* **3** (1977), 381-394.

[32] K.-I. Tahara, The augmentation quotients of group rings and the fifth dimension subgroups, *J. Algebra* **71** (1) (1981), 141-173.

[33] K.-I. Tahara, Augmentation quotients and dimension subgroups of semidirect products, *Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.* **91** (1982), 39-49.

[34] L.R. Vermani and R. Karan, Augmentation quotients of integral group rings, III– Corrigendum, *J. Indian. Math. Soc.* **59** (1993), 261-262.

[35] L.R. Vermani, Augmentation quotients of integral group rings, in *Groups–Korea '94 (Pusan),* 303-315, de Gruyter, Berlin, 1995.

[36] A. Whitcomb, The group ring problem, *Thesis*, University of Chicago, March 1968.